MSH-2 and MLH-1 Protein Expression in Muir Torre Syndrome-Related and Sporadic Sebaceous Neoplasms
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Background: Muir-Torre Syndrome (MTS) is a rare autosomal-dominant disorder characterized by the predisposition to both sebaceous neoplasm and internal malignancies. MTS-associated sebaceous neoplasms reveal mutations in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes and microsatellite instability. A significant part of MTS patients represents a phenotypic variant, the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). A strong correlation between microsatellite instability and immunostaining has been demonstrated. The early recognition of sebaceous neoplasm as part of MTS, and their differentiation from sporadic sebaceous neoplasm may have an important application in a clinical setting. The absence of MLH-1 or MSH-2 expression by immunostaining identifies tumors with mismatch repair deficiency.

Objectives: Our aim is to determine whether an immunohistochemical approach, targeting DNA repair proteins MSH-2 and MLH-1 in MTS-related sebaceous neoplasm and their sporadic counterparts, can be used for their identification.

Methods: We examined 15 sebaceous neoplasms (including 6 internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasms and 8 sporadic sebaceous neoplasms) from 11 patients for the expression of MSH-2 and MLH-1 by immunohistochemistry.

Results: Four of 5 internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasms showed loss of expression of MSH-2 or MLH-1. Correlation of the immunostaining pattern of the sebaceous neoplasms and the patients’ positive history of colon carcinoma was 80%. Seven of 8 sporadic sebaceous neoplasms showed a positive expression of MSH-2 and MLH-1. The prevalence for loss of expression of MMR proteins in sebaceous neoplasms was 38.5%. MMR immunostaining had 87.5% specificity and 80% sensitivity.

Limitations: This study is limited by a small sample size, and by bias selection due to the use of non nationwide data-base as the resource of cases.

Conclusions: Our findings demonstrate that immunohistochemical testing for internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasms is a practical approach to confirm a suspected inherited MMR gene defect, and an accurate method to distinguish between sporadic and MTS-associated sebaceous lesions.
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Muir-Torre Syndrome (MTS) is a rare autosomal-dominant disorder, described independently by Muir, Yates Bell, Barlow (1) and Torre (2) that is characterized by the predisposition to both sebaceous neoplasms and internal malignancies. The cutaneous neoplasms that are characteristic of the syndrome include sebaceous adenomas, sebaceous carcinomas, and sebaceomas, in addition to multiple keratoacanthomas (3-5). MTS is a clinical diagnosis that can be made in the setting of concurrent or sequential presence of a sebaceous neoplasm and one internal malignancy. This diagnosis can also be made in the presence of multiple keratoacanthomas, a visceral malignancy and a positive family history of MTS (5). The occurrence of any of these tumors, especially with isolated cystic type sebaceous tumors, even if solitary, mandates consideration of MTS (6-8). An evaluation of the patient and family members to rule out internal malignancies is mandatory.

MTS is caused by an inherited germ-line mutation in one allele of MMR genes. A somatic loss-of-function alteration of the remaining wild type allele may occur, leading to MMR deficiency (9-10). The MMR system repairs small errors that occur during replication in repeated sequences of DNA known as microsatellites.
Consequently, MMR deficiency produces accumulation of mutations in microsatellites resulting in their instability. A strong correlation between microsatellite instability and immunostaining has been demonstrated (11-16). The absence of MLH-1 or MSH-2 expression by immunostaining identifies tumors with mismatch repair deficiency (11-18).

A spectrum of malignant visceral tumors can occur in MtS patients, but colorectal carcinomas occur more frequently. A significant part of MtS represents a phenotypic variant of the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPPC or Lynch Syndrome) (19-20). In HNPPC, the proportion of MSH-2 mutations almost equals the proportion of MLH-1 mutations, whereas MtS is most frequently caused by germline mutations in MSH-2. Ponti, Losi, Pedroni, et al. (21) demonstrated that MLH-1 and MSH-2 gene mutations have an equivalent etiopathological role for both Lynch Syndrome and MtS, so a broadened clinical criterion for Lynch Syndrome has been proposed.

The objective of this study was to determine whether an immunohistochemical approach targeting DNA mismatch repaired proteins, MSH-2 and MLH-1, in MtS-related sebaceous neoplasms can be used for their identification when compared to their sporadic counterparts. The early recognition of sebaceous neoplasms as part of MtS, and their differentiation from sporadic sebaceous neoplasms, may have an important application in a clinical setting.

### Materials and Methods

#### Patients and Tumor Samples

A total of 15 paraffin-embedded sebaceous neoplasms from 11 patients were randomly selected from a dermatopathology report database system: 5 sebaceous adenomas, 4 sebaceous carcinomas, and 6 sebaceomas. None of these patients had been previously analyzed for microsatellite instability. Six patients had an internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasm, and 8 patients had sporadic sebaceous neoplasms (Table 1). In addition, one patient with an unknown past medical history was initially included to the immunohistochemical analysis for MLH-1 and MSH-2 expression.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences Campus.

### Immunohistochemistry

Freshly cut paraffin sections were deparaffinized in xylene, and rehydrated in graded alcohols. Sections were subjected to heat-induced epitope retrieval, using a commercially available steamer, and Tris EDTA (Rockland Gilbertsville, PA, 10x TE buffer, pH 7.5). The slides were steamed for 45 minutes, cooled at room temperature on Tris EDTA for 20 minutes, and then rinsed with Dako buffer (10x) at room temperature. Immunohistochemical staining was performed with an automated immunostainer (Dako). The mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies against hMLH-1 and hMSH-2 were employed at 1:60 and 1:30 dilutions, respectively. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (Dakocytomation Automation Hematoxylin Histological Staining Reagent). After automated immunostaining, slides were washed with Dako buffer, rehydrated in graded alcohols and fixed with Xylol. Negative and positive controls were obtained with normal colon mucosa. Staining was scored as positive when nuclear immunoreactivity was present. Tumors were considered to demonstrate inactivation of hMSH-2 or MLH-1 when there was a complete absence of detectable nuclear staining of neoplastic cells. Positive nuclear staining of adjacent non-neoplastic epithelium, stromal cells, or lymphocytes served as an internal positive control, and was a requirement for each

---

**Table 1. Patient’s demographics, histopathologic diagnosis, and MMR proteins expression in sebaceous neoplasms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Case (n=15)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years old)</th>
<th>History of internal malignancy</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>MSH-2/MLH-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1A m 48 yes s. adenoma -/+</td>
<td>2A f 43 yes s. adenoma -/+</td>
<td>3A m 67 no s. adenoma +/+</td>
<td>4A m 91 no s. adenoma +/+</td>
<td>5A m 78 no s. adenoma +/+</td>
<td>B 1B m 48 yes s. carcinoma +/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 1C m 56 yes sebaceoma +/+</td>
<td>2C m 58 yes sebaceoma undiagnostic</td>
<td>3C m 58 yes sebaceoma +/+</td>
<td>4C f 82 no sebaceoma +/+</td>
<td>5C m 66 no sebaceoma +/+</td>
<td>6C m 77 unknown sebaceoma undiagnostic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, sebaceous adenoma; B, sebaceous carcinoma; C, sebaceoma

* (-), loss of staining for MSH-2/MLH-1; (+), presence of nuclear immunoreactivity for MSH-2/MLH-1

* cases 1a and 1b, 1c and 3c, 4b and 4c corresponded to same patients
specimen evaluation. Two pathologists (J.S and C.G.K.) assessed all cases blinded of patients’ history of internal malignancy.

**Results**

The mean age of patients included in the analysis was 68 years old, with a male predominance. Results of the immunohistochemical staining are detailed in Table 1. Representative cases are shown in Figures 1 to 3. Two specimens (cases 2C and 6C) were excluded from the analysis due to undiagnostic immunostaining results. Both excluded specimens were sebaceomas, one of them belonged to the group of sebaceous neoplasms in patients with a history of internal malignancy (Table 1). No appropriate internal control was achieved in these two cases.

In the group of patients with a history of colon cancer, 4 of 5 sebaceous neoplasms showed loss of expression of MSH-2 and MLH-1 (Table 2). Overall, the prevalence of MSH-2 and MLH-1 loss of expression was 80% among patients with a history of colon carcinoma.

In the group of patients with a negative history for internal malignancy, 7 of 8 sebaceous neoplasms showed a positive expression of MSH-2 and MLH-1 (Table 2).

**Table 2. Comparison of MSH-2/MLH-1 expression of sebaceous neoplasms in patients with a history of internal malignancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMR protein status</th>
<th>Sebaceous adenoma (n=2)</th>
<th>Sebaceous carcinoma (n=1)</th>
<th>Sebaceoma (n=2)</th>
<th>Combined (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSH-2 loss or MLH-1 loss</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No loss</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the prevalence of MSH-2 and MLH-1 loss of expression was 38.5% among patients with a negative history of colon carcinoma.

Generally, the specificity and sensitivity of MLH-1 and MSH-2 immunostaining of sebaceous neoplasms was 87.5% and 80%, respectively (Table 3). The positive predictive value of MLH-1/MSH-2 immunostaining for identification of MTS-associated sebaceous lesions was 80%.

**Discussion**

Sebaceous neoplasms are rare skin tumors that may occur sporadically or in association to MTS. The diagnosis of a sebaceous neoplasm should give rise to the suspicion of an inherited MMR gene defect. The distinction between patients with MTS and sporadic sebaceous neoplasms is of paramount importance, particularly in those patients presenting sebaceous neoplasms as an initial manifestation of the syndrome.

**Table 3. Comparison of MSH-2/MLH-1 expression of sebaceous neoplasms in patients with a negative history of internal malignancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMR protein status</th>
<th>Sebaceous adenoma (n=3)</th>
<th>Sebaceous carcinoma (n=2)</th>
<th>Sebaceoma (n=2)</th>
<th>Combined (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSH-2 loss or MLH-1 loss</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No loss</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTS-sebaceous neoplasms originate from an inherited germ-line mutation in one allele of MMR genes, in combination with a somatic loss-of-function of the remaining wild type allele (12-13). The MMR deficiency leads to an inability to correct mutations, and eventually leads to microsatellites instability. Marcus, Madlensky, Gryfe, et al. (16) clearly demonstrated that immunostaining can accurately discriminate between microsatellite unstable and microsatellite stable neoplasms. Thus, immunohistochemistry can identify patients affected by an MMR deficiency.

Most studies on MMR defects published so far have concentrated on microsatellite instability analysis, and a 100% correlation between microsatellite instability analysis and immunostaining has been well documented (14-19).

In this study we analyzed MTS-associated sebaceous neoplasms and sporadic counterparts to determine the prevalence of loss of expression of MMR proteins, the specificity, and the sensitivity of the immunohistochemical analysis for sebaceous adenomas, sebaceous carcinomas and sebaceomas.

Considered as a whole, 5 cases (42%) were MMR deficient and 7 (58%) had an intact expression of MMR proteins. This is similar to the findings of Singh, Grayson, Redston, et al. (22) who found a 35% of the sebaceous neoplasms to be MMR deficient.

Similar to the findings of Popnikolov, Gatalica, Colome-Grimmer and Sánchez (23), we found a loss of MLH-1/MSH-2 protein in four of five (80%) internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasms. One of the five (20%) internal malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasms included in our study did not show loss of MLH-1/MSH-2 protein expression (case 1B- sebaceous carcinoma). There is a theoretical chance that the somatic
**Figures 1A-1D.** Immunohistochemical staining for MMR proteins for sebaceous adenoma, subject 5A. A and B, Positive immunostaining for MSH-2 (A, magnification 20x; B, magnification 40x); C and D, positive immunostaining for MLH-1 (C, magnification 20x; D, magnification 40x).

**Figures 2A-2D.** Immunohistochemical staining for MMR proteins for sebaceous carcinoma, subject 4B. A and B, Positive immunostaining for MSH-2 (A, magnification 20x; B, magnification 40x); C and D, positive immunostaining for MLH-1 (C, magnification 20x; D, magnification 40x).

**Figures 3A-3D.** Immunohistochemical staining for MMR proteins for sebaceous, subject 1C. A and B, Negative immunostaining for MSH-2 (A, magnification 20x; B, magnification 40x); C and D, positive immunostaining for MLH-1 (C, magnification 20x; D, magnification 40x).
observed in our study, similar to previous findings (23).

polyps of the colon to identify our MtS cases. hyperplasia, nor adenomatous polyps and/or hyperplastic
being carcinoma of colon. We did not include sebaceous
neoplasm in addition to internal malignancy, all of them
cases of MtS as those presenting at least one sebaceous
definition of MTS cases. In our study, we strictly defined
these discrepancies could be related to differences in the
to identify patients with “clinically defined” MTS (24).
arguing that these techniques are limited in their ability
MMR abnormalities and/or microsatellite instability,
tumors associated with extracutaneous cancer displayed
in their study, only a minority of cases of sebaceous
manifestation of MtS in this patient, and may indicate
an increased risk for visceral malignancy.
In our study, the percentage of loss of expression
of MMR proteins in internal malignancy associated
sebaceous neoplasms was significantly higher (80%
versus 36.3%, respectively) than the recently published
findings of Cesinaro, Ubiali, Sighinolfi, et al. (24).
In their study, only a minority of cases of sebaceous
tumors associated with extracutaneous cancer displayed
MMR abnormalities and/or microsatellite instability,
arguing that these techniques are limited in their ability
to identify patients with “clinically defined” MTS (24).
These discrepancies could be related to differences in the
definition of MTS cases. In our study, we strictly defined
cases of MTS as those presenting at least one sebaceous
neoplasm in addition to internal malignancy, all of them
being carcinoma of colon. We did not include sebaceous
hyperplasia, nor adenomatous polyps and/or hyperplastic
polyps of the colon to identify our MTS cases.
No concurrent loss of both MLH-1 and MSH-2 was
observed in our study, similar to previous findings (23).
Overall, we found a strong positive correlation (85%)
between the staining pattern and the clinical diagnosis of
MTS or sporadic sebaceous neoplasms.
There was an observed difference between sebaceous
adenomas, carcinomas, and sebaceomas in the rate of
the overall loss of the expression of MMR proteins and
a positive correlation to the patients’ history for internal
malignancy. In our study, the sebaceoma presented the
strongest positive correlation.
Previously, Marcus, Madlensky, et al. (16) reported
that immunohistochemistry for MSH-2 and MLH-1
identifies MMR deficiency with 97% sensitivity and 100%
specificity in microsatellite instability-analyzed neoplasms.
In our study, MLH-1 and MSH-2 immunohistochemistry
identifies MTS patients with 80% sensitivity and 87.5%
specificity, supporting that immunohistochemistry can be
used with a high degree of accuracy for the identification
of those sebaceous neoplasms originated by mutations in
MMR genes.

There are some inherent limitations in this study,
including the possibility that some patients may have
an undiagnosed internal malignancy, leading to an
underestimation of MTS cases. Another limitation of this
study stems from our inability to generalize our findings
of prevalence as a nationwide prevalence, because the
selected cases did not come from a nationwide data-base.
This study includes a relatively small sample size.
This study supports earlier reports of alterations in
mismatch repair gene expression for sebaceous neoplasms
in patients with MTS (9, 14-23) and brings evidence in
favor of immunohistochemical analysis as a practical
first-line screening test. In those cases where internal
malignancy-associated sebaceous neoplasm presents
discordant immunostaining results, microsatellite analysis
would be required to identify possible false-negative
cases. Also, molecular analysis would be required for
those tumors that may be originated from mutations in
other genes of the mismatch repair complex (16).
In summary, our findings support immunohistochemical
testing for internal malignancy-associated sebaceous
neoplasms as a reliable and practical screening method
with a high predictive value for the diagnosis of MMR
deficient neoplasms. It is an accurate way to distinguish
between sporadic and MTS-associated sebaceous lesion.

Resumen
El síndrome de Muir-Torre (SMT) es un desorden
avtosómico dominante poco común, que se caracteriza
por una predisposición a neoplasmas de origen sebáceo
y a malignidades internas. Los neoplasmas sebáceos
asociados al SMT revelan mutaciones en los genes de
reparación de pareo incorrecto del ADN, además de
una inestabilidad de microsatélite. Un grupo significativo
de pacientes con SMT representan una variante fenotípica
del síndrome hereditario de cáncer colorectal sin poliposis. Se
ha demostrado una correlación fuerte entre la inestabilidad
de microsatélite y la inmunotinción. El reconocimiento
temprano de los neoplasmas sebáceos como parte del
SMT y su diferenciación de los neoplasmas sebáceos
esporádicos, puede ser de gran importancia clínica. La
ausencia de la expresión MLH-1 o MSH-2 identifica los
tumores con deficiencia de las proteínas encargadas de la
reparación del pareo incorrecto del ADN. Nuestro propósito
es determinar si un análisis por immunohistoquímica para
las proteínas MSH-2 y MLH-1 en neoplasmas sebáceos
asociados al SMT y sus contrapartes esporádicas, se puede
utilizar para su distinción. Examinamos 15 neoplasmas
sebáceos (6 neoplasmas asociados a malignidad interna
y 8 neoplasmas sebáceos esporádicos) de 11 pacientes
para la expresión por immunohistoquímica de MSH-2.
y de MLH-1. Cuatro (4) de 5 neoplasmas sebáceos asociados a malignidad interna mostraron la pérdida de expresión de MSH-2 o MLH-1. La correlación del patrón de immunotinción y un historial positivo de los pacientes de carcinoma fue de un 80%. Siete (7) de 8 neoplasmas sebáceos esporádicos demostraron una expresión positiva de MSH-2 y MLH-1. La prevalencia de la pérdida de expresión de las proteínas del MMR en neoplasmas sebáceos fue de un 38.5%. La inmunotinción tuvo una especificidad de un 87.5% y una sensitividad de un 80%. Este estudio está limitado debido al tamaño pequeño de la muestra y por el uso de una base de datos no nacional como fuente de los casos. Nuestros resultados demuestran que las pruebas de inmunohistoquímica para los neoplasmas sebáceos asociados a malignidad interna son un análisis práctico. Es un método preciso para distinguir entre las lesiones esporádicas y las asociadas al SMT.
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