PRHSJ Vol. 28 No. 1

A Peer Teaching Experience

March. 2009

Correa R. et al.

CORRESPONDENCE

Peer-teaching: an Effective Learning Experience?

RAMONITA CORREA, Ph D*; YOCASTA BRUGAL, MD†; LENYSKA VALENTÍN, MSIII‡; JORGE PÉREZ, MD*; JOSÉ PÉREZ-GUMÁ, MD*

This article describes the peer-teaching strategy applied in the gross anatomy course to first year medical students at the San Juan Bautista School of Medicine.

Key words: Peer-teaching, Tutorial program

In the Developmental and Clinical Anatomy course, we instituted peer-teaching by grouping at random 8 to 9 students per cadaver for dissection, interacting with each other for individual as well as group benefit. The discussion in the dissection period is lively with the direct involvement of the anatomy faculty members. These same groups work together in other bio-medical sciences courses, clinical anatomy cases, and problem solving. Three cases were assigned to each group. The groups met and interacted during the laboratory periods, and after regular class hours. They researched library resources including the Internet, journals, medical texts, and consulted faculty members.

The groups worked together, analyzed, discussed in detail, allocated duties to peers, integrated the material

with other courses, and shared their findings and final conclusions prior to the oral presentation with the faculty and classmates. Faculty members evaluated and graded their final presentations.

An assessment of the peer-teaching strategy in the developmental and clinical anatomy course was performed to obtain student opinion in different aspects of the peer-teaching process. The results of the survey and interviews of the students revealed that the peerteaching experience positively affected their performance; helped them to better understand the material; promoted peer collaboration/cooperation; and academic integrity and honor code were observed during the process. The students expressed that peer-teaching is an effective learning experience; that they felt more confident asking questions and discussing doubts, and feel more at ease when dealing with peers than with faculty. They recommend its continuation in the developmental and clinical anatomy course with the proviso that the group size be reduced.

The San Juan Bautista School of Medicine appreciates the participation of the students in the decision making process. The Anatomy Department will continue with the peer-teaching process in the course. The number of students per table depends on the amount of cadavers assigned to the Medical School by the Puerto Rico Board of Anatomy; however, working groups will be organized into 4-5 students each to optimize peer participation.

References

- Secomb J. A systematic review of peer teaching and learning in clinical education. J Clin Nurs 2008;17:703-716.
- Brueckner J, MacPherson B. Benefits from peer teaching in the dental gross anatomy laboratory. Eur J Dent Educ 2004;8:72-77.
- Hendelman W, Boss M. Reciprocal peer teaching by medical students in the gross anatomy laboratory. J Med Educ 1986;6:674-80.
- Lohfeld L, Neville A, Norman G. PBL in Undergraduate Medical Education: A qualitative Study of the views of Canadian Residents. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract 2005;10:189-214.

Address correspondence to: Ramonita Correa, Ph D, Anatomy Department, San Juan Bautista School of Medicine, PO Box 4968, Caguas, PR 00726-4968. Tel: (787) 743-3483 ext. 237 • Fax: (787) 746-3093 • E mail: ramonita.correa@gmail.com; rcorrea@sanjuanbautista.edu

^{*}Anatomy Department, †President/Dean, ‡Medical Student, San Juan Bautista School of Medicine