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The essay examines the scientific representations that unfolded and manifested at the University of Puerto Rico School of Tropical Medicine (STM) under the auspices of Columbia University (1926-1949). It focuses on the emerged scientific representations and on the kind of science practiced at the School, and has been divided into four sections: images of science, evolution during the first two eras (1926-31 and 1932-40), the unfolding third and last era (1941-49), and special technical studies. This article on the STM’s scientific endeavors is the second in this historical serial collection about the images and evolution of sciences at the institution, and portrays the events and processes of the first two scientific eras. It reviews the faculty’s principal investigations, development of research programs, and concomitant scientific productivity and research outcomes. The following historical sources were considered: academic and research reports, and publications in external and local venues. On findings, bacteriological investigations and studies on mycology and dermatological fungal infections characterized research during the first era. Parasitology became the hegemonic science of tropical medicine during the second scientific era, in conjunction with important studies on nutrition and streptococcal bacteriological infections. Variations of an earlier tropical medicine discourse of ‘abundance of material for study’ were: the socioeconomic toll of tropical diseases and a biopower exertion of induced recruitment of medical bodies. And public health field-community studies became a critical research approach at-end of periods. The evolution of science in the last and third era will be the main subject of the next article. [P R Health Sci J 2019;38:209-225]

Key words: Tropical medicine history, Science history, Medical discourses

This scientific narrative is the second part of the fourth-part essay titled ‘Scientific Representations at the UPR School of Tropical Medicine.’ The fourth-part essay belongs to a historical series about the University of Puerto Rico (UPR) School of Tropical Medicine (STM) under the auspices of Columbia University, published in this journal (1). The purpose of the essay is to examine the general questions of the kind of science that prevails at the School and in the moments where significant scientific events and processes take place. The analysis focuses on the scientific representations (e.g., models) and exemplars of knowledge (e.g., theses, discoveries, iconic publications) that have been generated through the examination of official research and academic reports and faculty’s publications in external and local venues. The essay has been divided into a serial collection of four papers: the first concentrates on manifest images of science, the second deals with the evolution of the sciences during the first two eras (1926-31 and 1932-40), the third portrays the development of science in the third and last era (1941-49), and the fourth reviews special research studies (i.e., methodological, apparatus, epidemiological and field studies). This second paper examines the conditions and practices that elucidate the emergence and evolution of different aspects of science manifested at the STM in different periods and paths traversed.

The wide horizons of this historical research have been organized into three stages: 1926-1931, 1932-1940, and 1941-1949; which take into consideration both senior administrative time-periods (e.g., Director’s tenures) and the analysis of some serial data (e.g., publications) (2). However, the diversity and nature of the particular research problems considered raised the need to develop other time evolving or time strata models for a better understanding of diverse scientific events in addition to the practical use of the traditional model of chronological stages—such as the notion of historical moments. The principle of evolution signifies here a way in which to
consider transcendental changes in time, with diverse kinds of directionality and transformations of significant historical scientific events and processes. As in previous articles of this historical series, conceptual history is used to explore concepts about science and tropical medicine that change in meanings and usages in different historical contexts and situations. In the process of applying a microhistory approach (i.e., the conjugation of an intensive view and broad questions on small research units) to the study of the scientific representations and endeavors that unfold and evolve at the STM, a historic philosophic outlook has been developed. This viewpoint is based on an understanding and clarification of the practices of science in terms of research schemas (plans, programs), paradigms (including particular exemplars of knowledge) and epistemes (epistemological conceptual fields) (3).

In a previous article, “The Puerto Rico Journal of Public Health and Tropical Medicine (1925-1950),” it became clear that schistosomiasis disease was the central, consistent and homogeneous focus of research at the STM, and parasitology and biochemistry were the most important basic sciences. The following general profile emerged from the previous section on images of science at the STM: bacteriology, in its diversity, informed the research work during the first period, parasitology (mainly research on schistosomiasis and filariasis) became hegemonic in the course of the second science era with biochemistry, and a mature science spectrum of tropical medicine and public health characterized the last STM scientific scenario. These scientific profiles and images project as a prior thesis to be further examined and refined. The study approaches the topics from a historical and philosophical perspective, in which scientific research and productivity illustrate the strengths and limits of the academic environment prevalent at the School. This article captures the myriad of research networks, scientific communities, epistemes and legacies.

Science in the First Era: 1926-1931

A. Discourses and Setting

In the first research report, Columbia’s representatives defined the so-called local “investigative field” as an “opportunity for distinctive service,” since the social conditions in Puerto Rico were seen as unique for an intensive study of common tropical diseases (4). Both positive and negative factors were taken into consideration for this evaluation (5). Nonetheless, poverty and its effects on health population were the decisive element for the notion of “abundance” of available research material for study (6).

In the Director’s review of research of the first two years, the following foundational research strategies—a combination of human resources and scientific approaches—were emphasized: a) basic scientists with support from their laboratory assistants and local clinical professors, b) complementary pathological studies and epidemiological work, c) the key role of visiting professors, d) the independent work of a visiting graduate student from Columbia, and e) the participation of local graduate students in several important studies (7). However, in the construction of a medical research discourse and setting, the essential attribute was portrayed as collaboration: a) inwards, interdepartmental and between individual faculty researchers; and b) outwards, with the Department of Health, and public and private hospitals (8).

The School presented a more direct and positive scientific image with the early establishment of a research program on nutrition. Nevertheless, the so-called ‘Puerto Rican diet’ will be considered as nutritionally deficient, mainly due to socioeconomic factors. In the research review of the third year (1928-1929), that started in a celebratory manner referring to “America’s first School of Tropical Medicine located in the tropics,” the report stated that the “entire question of diarrhea and enteritis in the Island needs careful investigation,” and in need of correlating it with nutritional and food poisoning (9). If nutrition and schistosomiasis could be considered the golden research endeavors carried out at the STM during its life span, the serious health problem of diarrhea and enteritis (i.e., dysentery or ‘intestinal diseases’), that mostly affect small children, could be seen as an early breeding research idea that never prospered sufficiently (with only 3 serial articles in 1928, one in 1933, and two in 1945) (10)—considering that it was the second cause of death in 1927 (11).

As early as the fourth year of studies, the following institutional conditions were being singled out: a young growing institution; a marked increase in productivity by the scientific staff due to growth in personnel, expansion of research programs and greater financial support; and the opening of the University Hospital, which made possible a greater variety of clinical material and a broader scope of investigative work (12). The University Hospital increased the opportunities of the staff and created a “marked development in the scientific program,” increasing the publication of scientific papers and widening the breadth of research (13). Also, a “small school for nurses” was created (14) with the opening of the Hospital in 1929.

An early review of the services (first 16 months) offered by the pathology laboratory to the physicians and the public, with no service charge but without much advertisement, established that they increased so rapidly that more space and personnel had to be assigned to meet the demand. This service was perhaps the most important element to win the hearts and minds of the community: “showing the extent to which an educational or research institution may be of direct and immediate value to the medical profession and the public” (15). Pathological dermatological specimens, mostly biopsies, contained sufficient educational material to teach the pathology of tropical skin infections. Particularly needed for teaching, a group of specimens came from experimental animals of the School, and others were obtained from institutions outside of P.R. (16). The report concluded that the variety of the material received was adequate for small classes of graduate students and that tropical diseases were well represented, both in surgical specimens and autopsies. Another pathology
departmental report (1930), stated that even with the rapid increase in the number of specimens received and autopsies performed (both doubled in one year), the policy of no service charge was maintained because of the benefits to the public image of the institution (17). The report also mentioned that “the diseases predominantly tropical in distribution have been a minor factor as a cause of death,” in comparison “with more cosmopolitan forms” (18). These earlier reports manifest a conceptual denseness contrast among different notions of tropical pathology (i.e., educational material and causes of death).

The first Columbia's Dean Report (June 1930) made several celebratory and ideological expressions on the then current state of the STM, after the fourth academic year:

*The School of Tropical Medicine has won its place in Porto Rico. It has established itself in the scientific world at large. Up to the present it has been in its first period of growth. It now has an organized faculty, composed of both continental and insular Americans, working under a carefully planned policy in harmony and unselfish understanding...by their unselfish devotion to the ideals of the School as a whole, have developed an esprit de corps which has far greater value than buildings, land, or endowment (19).*

This academic discourse, formulated at the end of the first STM scientific historical period (1926-1931), illustrates the conscious effort toward the elaboration of a modern scientific image of colonial/imperial relationships and accommodations: acceptance by the local people, model of a universal science, construction of a common political scientific citizenship, centrality of an integrated community of scholars, disciplinary policies of organization and planning, promotion of harmony and unselfish ideals of scientific practices, and invention of an epistemological tradition (esprit de corps).

**B. Research in the Early Years**

The first Preliminary Report by Director R.A. Lambert (1926-27) only focused on the organizational aspects of research. It states that to fulfill its research function, the School “will need a larger number of trained workers, with time free for investigations,” and that there was a plan “to obtain at least two young research assistants, who will dedicate themselves largely to research.” However, he also said that already “several papers have been finished and various others will be completed,” without mentioning them (20). What was the content of those papers?

Definitely, one of the scientific papers had to be the first published in the School’s Journal in 1927 by chemist D.H. Cook, on “Vitamin Studies in PR” (21). Because of its historical significance, it merits our review. This short paper studied the vitamins A and B content of *plátanos* (*Musa paradisiaca*) and *yautias* (tannia, white and yellow, *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) using the Sherman’s Columbia method with young rats (that consisted of adding these foods daily and would then be compared to an initial diet that lacked the targeted vitamins).

The study found that *plátanos* and yellow *yautias* were excellent sources of vitamin A, while the white *yautias* were much poorer. With regard to vitamin B, which required a modification of the methodology, the yellow *yautias* ‘ranked first.’ The paper mentioned that the so called “average Porto Rican diet” (i.e., rice, beans and codfish) was lacking in those essential vitamins and recommended using the foods as supplements. Caution should be exercised concerning, first, the intricate problem of what constituted the Puerto Rican diet, recognized by Cook himself in another paper, and second, that historically these foodstuffs, in particular *plátanos*, have been part of the diet of rural laborers (22).

Columbia’s representative, pathologist J.W. Jobling, who visited the STM in 1927-28, the second academic year, highlighted the scarce research work carried out thus far at the School by R.A. Lambert, D.H. Cook, W.A. Hoffman, and B.K. Ashford (23). He mentioned that Lambert was studying the ‘prevalence and pathology of tropical diseases’ through autopsies, emphasizing the value of this information for “planning the future work of the school” (24). On Cook, who was studying the nutritional value of different local foodstuffs, he stressed that malnutrition was a problem of great concern for government officials and that the dietary problem was of “fundamental importance to the people.” “Quite fruitful,” was his evaluation of Hoffman’s research, having discovered the intermediate host in the transmission of schistosomiasis, a finding that he thought could lead to its prevention. Ashford’s studies on sprue were considered well known and, therefore, of no need for further comment, but Jobling added that Ashford was conducting some studies on fungi found in the intestinal tract.

Interestingly, while Lambert’s preliminary report considered that the ‘energies of the staff’ were consumed largely in organizational details and focused on the need to recruit more research assistants, Columbia’s representative Jobling stressed the value and significance of the few research studies for the future contribution of the School, for the benefit of the people or for the prevention of tropical diseases. The contrast in positions explains the variance in emphasis and representations.

Of the earlier research works, Hoffman’s paper on the identification of the intermediate host (i.e., the snail *Planorbis guadeloupensis*, later called *Australorbis glabratus*, now designated * Biomphalaria glabrata*) in the transmission of schistosomiasis, proved by him through an experiment with rats, produced a controversy with local medical scientist I. González Martínez on the priority of the recognition of the presence of bilharzia on the Island (25). Both Lambert (who made the same error in another presentation) and Hoffman accepted their mistakes, but claimed that González Martínez’s additional claim concerning the intermediate host was not valid (26). In his history of bilharzia in Puerto Rico, G.V. Hillyer ascribed the priority for the discovery in 1904 of the fluke eggs to González Martínez and the identification in 1927 of the intermediate host to Hoffman (27).
C. Visiting Professors and the Advancement of Basic and Public Health Research

The impact of visiting professors became evident in the initial review of research in the first two years. Two of the professors became significant role models: W.H. Taliaferro, Associate Professor of Parasitology at the University of Chicago, and F.W. O’Connor, a specialist in tropical medicine and Assistant Director at the Division of Medical Education of the Rockefeller Foundation (he went to work at Columbia University after his first visit to the School).

Taliaferro collaborated during several years with parasitologist W.A. Hoffman in immunology and schistosomiasis research. As a result, he assisted significantly in the initiation of one of the most successful research areas during School’s lifetime (28). He also participated in teaching (i.e., Immunology of Parasites) (29). With respect to clinical methodology, the Schistosoma mansoni precipitin test developed by parasitologists Taliaferro and Hoffman and the chemist Cook was reported to be further applied to clinical cases of the infestation (30). This move into the field of serologic diagnosis was advanced by the presence of Taliaferro, since he was a well-known authority on the diagnosis of malaria by employing immune precipitation methods in serologic diagnosis (31). The historical quest for a serological diagnostic test was justified because of the difficulties (e.g., labor and time consuming) involved in finding the schistosome eggs in stool examination (32). All the work was done with antigens prepared from the livers of the invertebrate snail host identified and time consuming) involved in finding the schistosome eggs in stool examination (32). All the work was done with antigens prepared from the livers of the invertebrate snail host identified by his previous experience in Samoa and the ‘great prevalence’ (35). He delivered a lecture at the School of Tropical Medicine Scientific Evolution First Eras.

O’Connor was responsible for initiating research work on filariasis at the School. In his first visit, he participated in many activities around the country. He returned to the School as a visiting professor in subsequent years and modeled the tropical medicine research strategy of field expeditions based on the Island and the Caribbean (35). He delivered a lecture at the STM (7 Nov 1927) based on his first article on filariasis, which was published in the School’s Journal (36). It was motivated by his previous experience in Samoa and the ‘great prevalence’ of the disease in Puerto Rico. He said that filarial mosquito’s transmission occurred in different vectors, Aedes variegates (non periodic) in the Polynesian islands and Culex fatigans (nocturnal periodicity) in many other countries (37). O’Connor mentioned that the latter vector was the one suspected of transmitting the microfilaria of Filaria bancrofti in Puerto Rico. With W.A. Hoffman he collected 45 Culex fatigans from a boys’ dormitory at a local school, and found that four were infested (8.9%). Filarial infestation was primarily manifested by the presence of microfilaria in the blood or by the onset of acute or subacute symptoms. O’Connor divided the clinical filarial infestations (“for convenience”) into inflammatory (e.g., lymphangitis) and obstructive (e.g., elephantiasis) -he limited his remarks to lymphangitis and elephantiasis. He already had observed sixty cases of filarial lymphangitis on the Island in which the patients manifested a definite symptomatic skin area in the affected limb. This observation was the basis for his first clinical study in Puerto Rico. In terms of knowledge of the disease, O’Connor said: “Notwithstanding all the work that has been done on this subject, there are few tropical diseases regarding which there are so many gaps in our knowledge”: 217 (38).

The second article published by O’Connor in the STM Journal (Sep 1929) must be considered a preliminary report of a clinical trial (39), although labeled as an experiment in the treatment of filarial lymphangitis by subcutaneous injections of sulphar sphenamine (40) (i.e., an arsenic compound). O’Connor and G.R. Burke (from the Presbyterian Hospital) published a report in 1929 about 57 cases in an external journal, in which they included the curious epidemiological observation that the town of Aguadilla, with 52 cases, “has an unenviable reputation for the prevalence of elephantiasis”: 142. The final results of this and other studies carried out in three distinct periods (i.e., Jan-May 1929, Aug-Nov 1929, and Jan 1930), were published by O’Connor and Constance R. Hulse as bilingual articles in the December 1935 STM Journal (41).

Thus, the contribution of these two professors, Taliaferro and O’Connor, definitely was decisive in advancing the early basic research of two important prevalent tropical diseases in Puerto Rico. The epidemiological work conducted by two other visiting professors from Columbia, Earle B. Phelps, Professor of Sanitary Science at the Institute of Public Health (on diarrhea and enteritis), and Haven Emerson, Director and Professor of Public Health Administration (on tuberculosis), seem to have the purpose of mobilizing the School to the study of two highly lethal diseases (42). Diarrhea-enteritis and tuberculosis were the two most serious conditions on the Island in 1927. As expected, tuberculosis was found to be related to general economic and nutritional problems. However, only tuberculosis seemed to fulfill this academic expectation, as evidenced by general publications in the School’s Journal during the first two thirds of the lifetime of the periodical (43).

The case of these four visiting professors clearly illustrates the significance and effectiveness of an onset feature of Columbia’s research and educational policy (44). The policy of visiting professorships was possible because of the financial support of the International Health Board of the Rockefeller Foundation (45).

D. Other Departmental Research

With respect to the disciplines of mycology and dermatology at the School, Ashford’s work—besides his clinical research on the evaluation of liver extracts in the treatment of sprue (46)—was represented in this period by his collaboration with visiting professor Rafaelle Ciferri from Santo Domingo on the identification of several species of fungal pathogens isolated from skin lesions (e.g., two strains of Pulularia pullulans) (47).
It also is represented by the beginning of A.L. Carrión's intensive research work on dermatomycosis, and his collaboration with Columbia’s dermatologist visiting professor Beatrice Kersten on mycotic infections (48). Tropical sprue and mycosis were the subject of the most frequent articles published in foreign or external journals during this first epoch (see Table 4 in the previous section paper on 'Images of Science'), in addition to two articles on encephalitis by Director E.B. McKinley (49).

As we mentioned before, research on these two medical entities, sprue and mycotic conditions, with bacteriological investigations, characterized the research carried out at the STM during the first epoch.

The department of chemistry continued building its long standing research program on nutritional deficiencies in the common diet (50). Medical zoology and parasitology will continue its basic and clinical studies on schistosomiasis, and in the field of entomology a monograph on mosquitoes in Puerto Rico was in preparation (51). Parasitologist Hoffman did some work on a serological test for Fasciola hepatica infestation in cattle-an unresolved matter until today (52).

In the report on the review of research for the third year (1928-29), we must call attention to a comment that illustrates the outstanding policy of personnel development of graduate students and research assistants at the School: “A future basic science professor, then a graduate student at the School,” A. Pomales-Lebrón, "started his studies on the bacterial flora in normal and pathological throats, of the respiratory tract in P.R.” (53). Bacteriologist P. Morales Otero complemented these floral studies with normal individuals. Bacteriologists Morales Otero and McKinley worked on the experimental transmission of leprosy to monkeys.

In 1929, P. Morales Otero published a preliminary report in the STM scientific journal of an unusual and unique experimental infection of Brucella abortus in humans, with 7 normal “volunteers” who were “fed” different porcine and bovine strains of “Brucella melitensis abortus in pasteurized milk.” Two cases developed symptoms and signs of undulant fever (“of the disease”) with the porcine strain and none with the bovine strain. Surprisingly, in 1933 he repeated the experiment with 5 human “volunteers” with a bovine virulent strain with negative results (no symptoms and signs) in 4 of the cases and a serological transitory positive agglutination of the organism in one case, which was published in an external U.S. journal—"a family consisting of three members volunteered to ingest for six weeks the infected milk of a cow"; and two other volunteers were inoculated (54). These unusual and atypical experiments were performed with humans as the animal model, which were neither illegal nor unethical at the time. However, the question still remains about the historically doubtful nature of the so-called volunteer disposition in an unequal power relationship without controls or safeguards. Also, a critical humanist counterargument must be raised that these experiments were contrary to the ancient medical guiding principle of “doing no harm,” regardless of the mores and standards prevalent at time.

The first Dean’s Report of June 1930 states that there were three research fellowships and two research grants at the School (55). The next Dean’s Report (June 1931), mentioned the transfer of chemist J.A. Axtmayer, a P.R. and Columbia graduate, from the UPR Rio Piedras Campus to work on the nutrition project of the Chemistry Department (56).

A review of the Department of Pathology of 1930, states that “routine autopsy and the examination of surgical material has continued to occupy the greater part of the time.” It mentions the following interesting data on the frequency of prevalent diseases, which helps in contextualizing the health conditions of the period. First, cases of infestation with parasitic helminthes were: trichuriasis, unciniarisis, schistosomiasis, and ascariasis; and second, cases of other “tropical conditions” were sprue, malaria, filariasis, and leprosy (57).

E. Criteria for the Selection of Diseases for Research

The official reports reveal that the grounds for the selection of diseases for study at the STM during the first epoch were based on the following historical conceptual changes in the criteria used: 1) (ideal) ‘symptoms and course of tropical diseases’ that afflicted most of the population (58); 2) (goal) the ‘primordial aim’ of the STM is to offer opportunities for the study of tropical...
diseases (59); 3) (plan) through a study of autopsies, the STM Director obtained prevalence and pathology data on “certain tropical diseases” that was considered of great value for planning the School’s work (60); 4) (epidemiology) research by visiting professors aimed at mobilizing the School around the two most serious health conditions on the island (diarrhea enteritis and tuberculosis) (61); 5) (logistics) two prevalent tropical diseases, uncinariasis and malaria, were excluded as the main focus of research at the School since they were part of the Health Department’s (HD) control programs (62); 6) (pragmatic) the criteria shifted toward the practical and the real: “the selection of diseases for study was determined by circumstances” (63); 7) (academic) the research programs were organized and reported by departments, without the need for more justification (64); and 8) at the end of the period, (convention) the criteria was based solely on past experiences or, perhaps, the beginning of an epistemological tradition: “as in the past, the problems of such diseases... have received particular attention” (65).

The most consistent research topics for the foundational years 1926-1931 were: sprue, malnutrition (dietary deficiencies) and nutrition (vitamin studies), schistosomiasis, filariasis, mycosis (fungal diseases), malaria, leprosy, tuberculosis, and brucellosis (in cattle) (66). Public health ‘field station’ studies (on tuberculosis, hookworm and ascariis infections) carried out in different communities and in collaboration with the HD, are mentioned in the last report of the period. The Field Station established by the STM Department of Medical Zoology at the Livingston Dorado State to ‘carry a comprehensive’ study on parasitic infestation in the rural population (i.e., hookworm reinfection, epidemiology of Ascariis lumbricoides, bored hole latrines, and soil contamination)” (67) was asserted with prominence. These last research endeavors served as a testimony that public health research was an important part of the scientific efforts at the STM, earlier than we expected.

Science in the Second Era: 1932-1940

This is the period in which the Director of the School (G.W. Bachman, 1931-42) was a parasitologist. The turn of events contributed favorably to the process of making parasitology and medical zoology actual fundamental sciences in the history of tropical medicine in P.R. The administrative and technical personnel of the School also increased significantly from almost a base zero in 1926 up to nine persons, including an administrator, a librarian, and six technicians (3 females and 3 males) among them the School’s future eminent parasitologist J. Oliver-González (68).

A. Pillars of Tropical Medicine in Puerto Rico: Parasitology and Medical Zoology

The study of schistosomiasis mansoni became one of the School’s most important research programs through a grant in aid from the National Research Council and a grant from the B.K. Ashford Fellowship Fund. These research endeavors “on the historical, epidemiological, biological, pathological, clinical, and preventive aspects” of this widespread disease were undertaken with the collaboration and under the leadership of clinical parasitologist E.C. Faust from Tulane University Medical School. The 1934 Dean’s Report mentions that this work was interdepartmental, “divided into seven main divisions, each aspect of the problem being handled by the personnel of the respective departments,” which illustrates the significance of the project (p. 37) (69). A series of articles (between 1933 and 1941), with the name of ‘Studies on Schistosomiasis mansoni in Puerto Rico’ were published by different authors from several departments in the STM Journal. These papers were modeled after an earlier series of 1927-28.

Two articles in the 1933 series authored by Faust are of interest: one, a statement of the purpose (‘need’) for the series was published in Science; and another on the history of schistosomiasis in P.R. appeared in the STM Journal (70). On the study’s need, the first remark emphasizes both the “cooperative efforts” of the STM and Tulane University, and the grants received. It also mentions the “unique opportunity” afforded for the study due to the “limited size” of the Island and “the economic importance of the disease” - a variation of the earlier tropical medicine discourse of ‘the abundance of material’. The paper describes in detail the established research schema (i.e., biological, pathological, clinical, and epidemiological aspects), which shows its cogent planning and organization (71). Both articles recognize the historic priority of I. González Martínez (1904) work, that he was the first to discover the parasite’s ova and to study thereafter intestinal schistosomiasis in the Western Hemisphere (72). In 1907 L.W. Sambon named this schistosome, Schistosoma mansoni, in honor of P. Manson. The history paper reviews the renewed interest and studies about the disease that took place with the opening of the STM. It mentions the studies by Hoffman in 1927 on the epidemiology and distribution of the disease and in the experimental proof about the intermediate host. The author mentions some results of survey and clinical data as examples of the need for further studies, and concludes that the “perplexing problems” historically associated with schistosomiasis could be elucidated by an intensive investigation. Finally, it lists the next articles of the series (73). It is important to point out that schistosomiasis was the subject with the highest frequency of publications at the STM Journal, and the most homogeneously distributed throughout the years (74).

On the topic of zoology, it should be noted that a survey of animal and human parasites on the Island was in progress at the STM, assisted by scientists from the Zoological Division of the U.S. Bureau of Animal Industry in the collection and identification of material. A series of experimental investigations on Trichinella spiralis, the etiologic agent of trichinosis, were carried out in this era by G.W. Bachman and associates, and published mostly in external journals. However, the notable basis for this work was established by Bachman in 1928 (a precipitin test) and 1929 (an intradermal reaction), prior to his incorporation at the STM- he was the “first to use Trichinella
antigen for diagnostic purposes.” J. Oliver-González also made a notable contribution on the immunology of trichinosis (i.e., the dual antibody basis) during 1940-1941 (75).

B. Probing the Puerto Rican Diet

By the start of the period, the relative importance of the nutritional research program is evident by the fact that three of the four research assistants at the School were in the chemistry department, including co-authors Trinita Rivera (BA Columbia 1927) and Luz M. Dalmay (PhDC P.R. 1920) (76). The transfer of chemist J.H. Axtmayer in 1931 was an earlier sign of the significance assigned to this research program. Another analogous series titled 'Nutritional studies of the food stuff used in the Puerto Rican diet,' published in 1931-1940, also was antedated by a similar published series, as previously mentioned (77). The research program was made possible by a grant from the Rockefeller Foundation (78). It can be said that during the lifetime of the STM there are at least three historical periods of this research schema, according to faculty publications. The first is a foundational phase (~1927-1931) in which some of the studies discussed before could be situated-this stage can be called the D.H. Cook and Trinita Rivera series. The second period (~1930-1933/1940) started with the incorporation of J.H. Axtmayer and the phase out of, then instructor, T. Rivera. The third is the integration of C. Asenjo to the chemistry research program (who came to the School with funds provided by the New Deal agency, the P.R. Reconstruction Administration) (79), and L.M. Dalmay as co-author, speaks of a long lasting period (~1935-1948) (80).

In general, the research methods most frequently used by the nutritional program were based uniformly on varying forms of experimental rat weight, growth and reproduction studies. Also, the most studied topics consisted of the determination of the chemical composition (e.g., nutrients, proteins and vitamins) of local plants or foodstuffs. There are some pertinent questions that could be of interest for future inquiries in this area, such as: Are these phases basically related to the participation of different scientists or do they have other contrasting characteristics that are not so discernible? Were other kinds of studies, already mentioned, conducted in collaboration with other School departments? What kind of recommendations did they propose, if any, as a response to the general finding of a deficiency in nutrients of local foodstuffs?

C. Other Lines of Research and Networks

The study of lymphatic filariasis gained renewed impetus at the STM during the second era and emulated prior studies by O’Connor and others (1927-1930), including two singular ones by O’Connor, Golden, and Auchtinloss (1930) and by O’Connor and Hulse in 1935 (81). Lymphangitis was the disease with the most frequent faculty publications in external journals (82) in this era. In 1931, medical zoologist M.K. Tampi, from the School of Hygiene and Public Health of Johns Hopkins University, mentions that the Island “is one of the best known endemic areas of filariasis in the Western Hemisphere,” but because of its low mortality and that presence of the disease was limited to some urban areas, it is considered a minor public health problem. Nevertheless, he considers that since the disease causes “considerable suffering” and “repeated incapacitation of the workers,” it constitutes a serious public health problem (83).

A man must make a critical statement in relation to the nature of tropical diseases such as malaria, unciniariasis, schistosomiasis and filariasis: they are serious health problems, particularly for vulnerable populations (e.g., those overburdened by poverty, hard work and malnutrition), regardless of mortality indexes. Several medical characteristics mark the perniciousness of their malignancy and symptomatology: chronic states (e.g., inflammatory processes), intensity (infestation burden), relapse/recurrence/reinfection, drug resistance or toxicity, immunodeficiency, incapacitation/deformation, virulent parasite species, and multiple infestations (e.g., polyparasitism) (84). Health surveys, epidemiological studies and clinical data of the epoch clearly established that these tropical diseases affected mostly children and the elderly, manual and rural laborers, and the lower socioeconomic strata of the population.

In terms of bacteriology, there were several principal lines of research during this era, such as (in order of ‘efforts’): tuberculosis, recurrent tropical lymphangitis, the biology of pneumococci and streptococcus infections, Brucella abortus (veterinary) and brucellosis, Endameba histolytica, and varied epidemiological and field studies (85). Bacteriology definitely was the basic sciences department with the most diverse subjects of investigation and, perhaps, with the closest association with important public health problems, which propitiated many collaborative works with other STM departments (e.g., Parasitology, Chemistry, Pathology), external institutions (e.g., Henry Phipps Institute, Philadelphia), and with local Federal and Puerto Rico government agencies (e.g., HD, Agriculture and Commerce Department, Agricultural Experiment Station, Health Division P.R.R.A., Civil Works Administration).

Bacteriologist Dr. Morales Otero, director of the department, was the most prolific and the most multifaceted author with publications in the STM Journal in this era, and he also was the faculty member with the most publications in external periodicals at this time (86). In the 1935-36 academic year, Morales Otero took a leave of absence from the School because he was named chief of the Health Division of the P.R. Reconstruction Administration (P.R.R.A.), which resulted in the collaboration between both institutions and the use of additional resources for health research (87). Special permits also were granted to several external researchers to conduct P.R.R.A. sponsored research at the STM laboratories (88).

The 1934 Bacteriology Department report mentions that a series of three articles on the epidemiological work of tuberculosis (i.e., "surveys") was completed; the study was managed by the bureau of tuberculosis of the HD (89). The first survey, which compared the incidence of tuberculosis in a coastal
town (Cataño) with one in a mountainous zone (Adjuntas), was the most complete and reliable (methodologically, it was based on an extended use in the community of an intradermic tuberculin test). Among a series of factors considered (i.e., "race, climate, illiteracy, poverty"), the study concluded that the "great overcrowding of dwellings" and the "nearness to large centers of population" explain the higher incidence of tuberculosis in the coastal town. In general, pulmonary tuberculosis was the predominant form of the disease (90). The 1934 Dean's Report mentions that these tuberculosis studies continued, but were 'confined' to the incidence of the disease "in the needlework and tobacco industries." The 1935 Dean's Report states that these field studies "have been finished," but the 1937-38 Director's Report (Memoria) still reiterates that they have been achieved (91). Grants from the Civil Works Administration and the P.R. Emergency Relief Administration made feasible the studies. Under the leadership of A. Pomales Lebrón, with the participation of P. Morales Otero, the study of the streptococcus problem on the Island, which in the next years will be the main focus of the research program of the department of bacteriology, started to make progress (92). In the 1936-37 Memoria del Director, an important mention is made with respect to the collaboration between the Health Division of the P.R.R.A. and the STM's bacteriology department on a series of health and socioeconomic studies of rural workers (93). These studies were carried out under the direction of P. Morales Otero (STM/P.R.R.A.) and M.A. Pérez (P.R.R.A.), and published between 1937-1941 in the STM Journal (94). The investigations were extensive, methodologically reasonable, and offered significant information on the population and health conditions of the epoch.

Despite extensive routine services, the Department of Pathology conducted some important research studies during this era. Most of the studies were published by the department's director, E. Koppisch, either with members of other departments or single handedly. Research on the influence of dietary factors on experimental tuberculosis (white rats) was carried out (95) in collaboration between three departments (i.e., Pathology, Bacteriology and Chemistry). A study on the aspergillosis (i.e., a disease caused by a fungi Aspergillus Spp. marked by inflammatory granulomatos lesions) in chicks was presented at the Argentina Society of Regional Pathology of the North (96) with the collaboration of bacteriologist P. Morales Otero.

A review by Koppish of 628 autopsies on tuberculosis revealed that the disease assumes the 'usual chronic form' in adults (97). Experimental work on vaccination against tuberculosis with B.C.G (bacilli Calmette Guerin) was carried out and published by bacteriologist R. Thompson and Koppisch in 1936, and two articles on the pathology of schistosomiasis in experimental animals (1937) and in humans (1941) were published by Koppisch (98). Several studies were done in collaboration with other institutions, such as Columbia University (Pathology, Surgery), the U.S. Bureau of Animal Industry and the local Presbyterian Hospital. In the 1935-36 academic year Koppish went to the University of Basel in Switzerland to study and train on the herpes virus under experimental pathologist R. Doerr, with a research program in mind upon his return. However, his virology studies with rabbits and humans did not produce remarkable results (99).

D. Hospital Based Investigations

Similar to the pathology department in terms of providing 'heavy routine service', the Hospital staff conducted investigations that were characterized in the 1934 Dean's Report as, "a worthy record of medical research": 36 (100); but, in contrast to pathology, with the participation of diverse faculty members. The burden of being a regional hospital specialized in tropical medicine represented 'a serious predicament' of an ever increasing service demands, but the situation also provided unique opportunities for the staff and the School to advance medical research. During an almost three year period of reconstruction (~May 1937-Mar 1940) where medical services were limited to the outpatient clinic, some members of the Hospital staff took advantage of the opportunity to verify and analyze investigations of a "scientific character" (101).

The majority of the hospital investigations were on the clinical aspects of diseases (mostly tropical) that were being...
studied by the School basic sciences departments, such as schistosomiasis, filariasis and lymphangitis, sprue, pernicious anemia, uncinariasis, streptococcus and certain skin disorders. However, other health conditions were peculiar to a hospital environment that served a mostly poor population: vascular diseases (e.g., thromboangiitis, endarteritis), gastrointestinal disorders (e.g., mucositis), demonstrations of medical procedures characteristics of particular conditions (e.g., gastroscopic and rectosigmoidoscopy findings with sprue patients), surgeries (e.g., elephantiasis), pediatric development (i.e., “incidence of the widening and roughening of the epiphyseal lines in children”), and the unique opportunity to follow up on previously hospitalized patients with particular conditions through an outpatient clinic (e.g., “heavily parasitized and markedly anemic young men from the mountains of the Island” – that is, hookworm disease or uncinariasis). The hospital integrated some of the STM faculty that offered definite diagnosis and follow up of certain particular diseases: etiology of skin diseases (A.L. Carrión) and all parasitic infections (W.A. Hoffman). Finally, the hospital required the continuous use of bacteriology, parasitology, and pathology laboratories and research techniques provided by other School’s departments (102).

E. The End of an Era
The 1934 Dean Report mentions the ‘continued illness’ of B.K. Ashford, from the Department of Mycology, who, despite his ailments, continued to conduct a number of studies in sprue and the effects of ultraviolet light on mycoderma (yeasts, fungi). A.L. Carrión’s work on chromoblastomycosis (fungal skin infections) continued, as well as departmental work on Monilia (103). The next report announces the “irreparable loss” of founding member Professor Ashford, who continued his work until a few months before his death. C.W. Emmons of the Columbia University Department of Mycology, who studied human actinomycosis, worked in ‘splendid cooperation’ with Carrión and assistant M. Otero on new cases of chromoblastomycosis (CMB) and epidermophytosis – another fungal skin infection which “represents a large portion of the skin diseases seen in the University Hospital and on the Island.” In 1935 Carrión also identified a new species, Hormodendrum compactum, as a pathologic agent of CMB (104). In the following years, Carrión included the infectious diseases of trichophytosis and actinomycosis in his dermatomyces studies. Also, in the last years of the era, trichomycosis – a disease of the hair (or “piédra of the hair”), maduromycosis (“Madura foot”), and pinta (mal del Pinto, pigmented changes of the skin) also were subjects of interest (105).

Conclusions: Science in the First and Second Eras
The STM scientific narrative starts with the medical discourses on two critical intellectual ideas: one, on the actual ideological importance of the notion of ‘abundance of available research material’ in tropical diseases, and the other, on the emphasis and potentiality of collaboration for research practices. A set of foundational principles were clearly delineated in the first Columbia’s Dean Report, which reflect a modern scientific image of colonial/imperial understanding (i.e., acceptance by inhabitants, construction of a common political scientific citizenship, centrality of a community of scholars, disciplinary organizing policies, promotion of a universal, harmonious and altruistic ideal image of science, and the normative invention of a tradition).

Variations of the earlier tropical medicine peripheral discourse of ‘abundance of material’ were, first, a soft conceptualization with respect to the unique opportunity offered by an Island’s closed locus and the socioeconomic toll of tropical diseases, and second, a strong biopower exertion of induced recruitment of medical bodies for experimental chemotherapy. The opening of the university hospital also amplified conceptually this tropical medical discourse around the clinical notion of a greater variety of available material, thus broadening the scope of the investigative field. On the conceptual history of the fundamental polyvalent character of tropical diseases, a clinical distinction was advanced between “diseases predominantly tropical in distribution” versus “more cosmopolitan forms,” and among tropical medicine connotations emanating from pathological materials needed for education and epidemiological causes of death. A conceptual approach also facilitated the identification of the historical changes regarding the grounds or criteria for selection of diseases for study at the School, a path extended from an earlier idealized justification, passing through pragmatic and logistics forms, and at the end changing into a conventional rationale.

The foundational research during the first scientific era of the School (1926-1931) was the product of the three initial researchers designated by Columbia University (in pathology, chemistry and parasitology) and the leading local physician in tropical medicine and mycology. Their respective initial contributions were the establishment of the Pathology Laboratory that provided free services to the medical community, the initiation of the long standing research tradition of vitamin studies, the seminal identification of the intermediate host in the transmission of schistosomiasis, and the dietary treatment and hematalogical studies on tropical sprue. Very early, two recurrent visiting professors (in parasitology and tropical medicine) made substantial contributions through their collaboration and stimulation of lasting research schemas in the schistosomiasis and filariasis investigative fields. The first scientific period also saw the inclusion of future eminent scientists that initiated very productive research for the rest of the STM existence (in studies on dermatomyces and streptococcus), including the transfer of a chemist from the UPR Rio Piedras campus to work on the project of nutritional deficiencies. The participation of research assistants as co-investigators and co-authors, who became part of the faculty later, has the notable exemplar of chemist Trinita Rivera, who did graduate studies at Columbia.
The second STM scientific stage (1932-1940) is marked by the leadership of a parasitologist and by the inception of two research schemas supported by significant grants that became the most important investigations at the School: a series of interdepartmental studies on schistosomiasis and nutritional chemistry studies. Director G.W. Bachman and associates also conducted a series of experimental investigations on trichinosis, which foreshadowed future achievements by J. Oliver-González on the dual antibody thesis. Filaria research gained a renewed impetus during the second scientific era, emulating prior and current studies by F.W. O’Connor and associates. The highly productive bacteriological research was characterized by diversity with public health overtones (e.g., epidemic outbreaks and veterinary diseases), and its variety only was paralleled by the clinical tropical medicine department. The studies on the streptococcus problem on the Island became the main bacteriology research schema, followed by veterinary brucellosis studies. The questionable experimental infections with Brucella abortus in humans constitute an erred scientific misadventure of the times.

Two new institutional units emerged around 1938: Studies of Ultraviolet Solar Radiation, which, besides clinical physiology studies at the Hospital, formally incorporated physiological research at the School, and the Santiago Primate Colonies, which provided significant venues and resources for observational and experimental investigations. Pathological research started to show the heavy burden of routine services, overcome only by resilience and collaboration. With respect to personnel, the number of research assistants dramatically increased, particularly in the field of chemistry, but the era will be notably remembered by the “irreparable loss” of B.K. Ashford and the incorporation of C.F. Asenjo as a member of the faculty. A.L. Carrión identified a new species involved in the etiology of chromoblastomycosis, and E. Koppisch went to Switzerland to do research on the experimental pathology of the herpes virus, which initiated the viruses research schema at the School, but with unsatisfactory results (besides an early and short lived E.B. McKinley’s phase).

This historical inquiry calls for an extensional modification of the hypothesis that bacteriological diverse investigations guided research during the first epoch, in terms of integrating in a parallel manner the epistemological field constituted by the biological science of mycology and the clinical considerations of dermatological mycotic infections (i.e., fungal specimen studies and health conditions). Also, at the end of the era, the ample field of public health started to effect early research input through field studies, albeit with a faculty with the lowest academic positions and without the benefits of an organizational departmental unit until 1940, but with the organic support of the HD and of other School departments.

Parasitology definitely became the hegemonic science of tropical medicine during the second STM scientific era-based on a consistent, homogeneous, and productive research schema on schistosomiasis and filariasis, and the participation of other disciplines in these investigations. However, although parasitology already was a kind of paradigmatic science during this era, the research schemata domain and scientific images will be incomplete if biochemistry nutritional studies and the streptococcal bacteriological infections investigations are omitted. It also must be noted that the productive but non homogeneous field of bacteriology stressed its public health epistemic dimensions during this period.
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41. a) O’Connor FW, Burke GR. Lymphangitis and filariasis in Porto Rico. Mayo-Santana, The Puerto Rico Journal of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, made the following comment based on a paper by J. Suarez: “Filariasis was present throughout Puerto Rico, with a few high intensity foci such as Puerto de Tierra… and Aguadilla….” 191 and 192. See: Suarez J. An arsenic compound that was used in the treatment of syphilis and in other dermatological conditions (e.g., warts); it was prepared from arsenobenzene, formaldehyde, and sodium bisulphite. See: Vogeblin C, Johnson JM, Dyer H. Sulpharsphenamine, its manufacture and its chemical and chemotherapeutic properties. Public Health Rep 1922;37:2783-2798.

44. Darrach, in his report for 1930, mentions two other visiting professors that in this period performed research work during their visits: Edwin O. Jordan, from the University of Chicago, “a previous visiting lecturer returned for a period of investigative work bringing his own laboratory assistance and equipment and supplies”; 86; and W.G. Smillie of the department of Public Health at Harvard University, who “returned and is conducting in the island of St. John a study on bacterial flora of the upper respiratory tract”; 86. Darrach W. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for 1930: 86. See also: Jordan EO. Food poisoning. Porto Rico Rev Public Health Trop Med 1929;4:517-537 (Portions of the Gordon Bell Memorial Lecture, Winnipeg, Manitoba); and Smillie WG. Field Studies of Acute Respiratory Disease, Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1929;5:3-7, lecture delivered at the STM, May 10, 1929.

45. See: Ramírez de Arellano, Francis William O’Connor. In: Mayo Santanta, Ramírez de Arellano, Riguó Pérez (eds.), A Sojourn in Tropical Medicine. Ramírez de Arellano asserts that: “Even before the school had opened in Puerto Rico, the International Health Board had agreed to give Columbia the sum of $8,000 to support visiting professorships at the fledgling enterprise, thereby encouraging the intellectual cross-pollination to which the Foundation was committed.” 128-129. Both O’Connor and Taliaferro visits were supported by the Rockefeller Foundation.


47. Ciferri R, Ashford BK. Two strains of Pulullaria Pululans (De Bary), Berkhourt isolated from the human skin, Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1929; 5:188-195. According to the authors, the results of their observations were that two fungi, Montoyella nigra and Cladosporium Monsani, associated as causes of certain “bizarre” pigmented mycotic affections of the skin (i.e., the tropical disease of “black pinta,” a treponemal infection), grouped under the term “carate,” can readily be confused with Pulullaria Pululans, “which is either a contaminating organism or which may be a harmless saprophyte”: 195.


50. Rappleye WC. Review of research carried out in first two years 1926-28: 107. This comment on the nutritional deficiencies in the common diet will be common for decades to come.


52. There is no article, in local or external venues, by Hoffman on a serological test for Fasciola hepatica during this epoch or later. The McKinley’s Review of research during the third year 1928-1929, states the following: “Fasciola hepatica is a common fluke infestation of cattle in Porto Rico. Furthermore, it is known that man occasionally serves as the definite host for this species. Dr. Hoffman has succeeded in developing a serological test for this parasite though the precipitins reacting specifically against antigen prepared from this fluke are demonstrable only in low dilutions”: 315. There is an article by Hoffman on the intermediate host of this parasite: Hoffman WA. The intermediate host of Fasciola hepatica in Porto Rico. Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1930; 6:89-91. In this article, Hoffman corroborates the finding of H.L. Van Volkenburg of the ‘Porto Rico Agricultural Experimental Station’ at Mayagüez who identifies the snail Lymnaeocymba as the intermediate host of Fasciola hepatica in P.R. There is an indirect reference of a presentation by Hoffman in 1928 in the proceedings of the American Society of Parasitologists on a "Ring Test or Precipitin test" in Fasciola hepatica: The Journal of Parasitology 1928;15:135-149. The historical difficulties of finding an adequate diagnost test for Fasciola hepatica infection in cattle is evident, as the following recent article shows: Mazzeri S, Sargison N, Kelly RF, de C Bronsoorwee BR, Hannel J. Evaluation of the performance of five diagnostic tests for Fasciola hepatica in naturally infected cattle using a Bayesian no gold standard approach. PLOS One 2016;11:e0161621; the authors say: "The clinical and economic importance of fasciolosis has been recognized for centuries, yet diagnostic tests available for cattle are far from perfect": abstract.
55. Darrach W. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for 1930: 87. Three research fellowships: 1) the B.K. Ashford Fellowship; 2) the Committee for Relief in Belgium Educational Foundation; and 3) the Rockefeller Foundation support of P. Morales Otero for study in the U.S. Two research grants: 1) the Ella Sachs Plotz Foundation of Boston granted a fund for the study of fungus diseases in P.R.; and 2) the Matheson Encephalitis Commission granted compensation for two laboratory assistants who were also students in the School.
56. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1931. 38. The transfer of J.H. Axtmayer was financed in part by the Rockefeller Foundation, which would become a permanent faculty member of the School in 1933. Axtmayer got a BS in P.R. and a MA (1926) and PhD (1927) from Columbia. STM Announcement, 1931-32: 3.
57. Koppsich, Report of the Pathology Department, 1929-1930: 336-338. Specifically, cases with infestation with parasitic helminthes were: trichuriasis (23), uncinariasis (21), schistosomiasis (10), and ascariasis (5), and cases of other "tropical conditions" were: sprue (5), malaria (4), filariasis (4), and leprosy (3). Diseases of more general distribution were: local pneumonia in 11 cases, lobular pneumonia in 7, typhoid fever in 6, and epidemic encephalitis in 1. Tuberculosis was found in 15 cases in different forms. Of 1,316 cases examined, there were: 187 malignant tumors (mostly carcinomas and sarcomas) and 130 benign tumors.
60. Jobling, Report on the School of Tropical Medicine: 3.
63. Lambert, Review of research Carried Out in First Two years 1926-28: 107. A prototype such as the one established by Ashford with Sprue, was an extension of the research carried out by him at the ITMH, or that schistosomiasis "attracted Hoffman's attention due to some interesting biologic fact" (or even that other worm infestations were more prevalent, such as uncinariasis and ascariasis), or by the technical chemical development of a diagnostic serum precipitin test.
64. McKinley, Review of research during the third year 1928-1929.
65. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1931. These health conditions were: filariasis (O’Connor), sprue (Ashford), pernicious anemia (Ashford & Pons), leprosy (Epidemiology), skin affections (Carrington), undulant fever (Morales Otero, Veterinary), general nutrition (Chemistry), schistosomiasis (Pathology), or in the case of Pathology, through collaboration with other departments (experimental myotic infection in monkeys, acute rheumatic fever, schistosomiasis), or bacteriological studies on hemolytic staphylococcus infections with the Presbyterian Hospital.
67. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1931: 41.
68. STM Announcement, 1934-35: 5
69. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1940: 37.
72. a) Faust, Investigations in Puerto Rico: “It also occurs in Puerto Rico, where it was first reported by González Martínez in 1904, three years before the species was differentiated...” 63. b) Faust, The history of schistosomiasis: “In the light of this documented evidence the present writer feels that the honor of first studying intestinal schistosomiasis in the indigenous population of the Western Hemisphere without question belongs to González Martínez”: 155-156. In the above reference 27, I mentioned Hillyer’s comment, The rise and fall of bilharzia in Puerto Rico: 225, on the priority of P. Manson in “identifying a new parasite”: “Manson had described in England a single intestinal bilharziosis from a patient from the Caribbean island of Antigua finding oval eggs with lateral spines.” Nevertheless, the assertion made by Faust on González Martínez being the “first studying intestinal schistosomiasis in the indigenous population” is still correct. See: Manson P. Report of a case of bilharzia from the West Indies. Br Med J 1902;2:1894-1895: “I made an examination of his faeces and discovered the ova of bilharzia. In this case, as so often happens in bilharzia ova from the alimentary canal, the spine is placed laterally”.
1894. However, bilharzia historian J. Farley, in terms of Manson description, clarifies that “in the past, such eggs [laterally spined eggs] had been assumed to be those of Schistosoma haematobium,” the classic Bilharz African schistosome species - 1 add, not the “second species” described by Sambon (S. mansoni, 1907) and González Martínez (1904). Farley J. Bilharzia: A History of Imperial Tropical Medicine, 1st paperback ed.; Cambridge; Cambridge University Press, 2003: 55. Also, Manson did not seem to make any credit on priority - except by being overwrite by Faust with Sambon that there were two species - as Faust, Investigations in Puerto Rico, mentions a J. Catto (15 Dec 1904) letter of acknowledgement to González Martínez who said: “I have shown your worms and papers to Sir Patrick Manson and he is also very interested”: 155.
73. Faust, Investigations in Puerto Rico: 159-160. The list makes reference to seven studies, but I have been unable to find the seventh (i.e., VII. Preventive aspects of schistosomiasis mansoni in Puerto Rico). Hillyer, The rise and fall of bilharzia in Puerto Rico: 225-226, mentions the sixth by E. Koppish as the “8th and last article,” and is correct, however, in that it was the last of the series. See: Koppish E. Studies on Schistosomiasis mansoni in Puerto Rico. VI. Morbid anatomy of the disease as found in Puerto Rican PRJ Public Health Trop Med 1941;16:393-455.
76. STM Announcement, 1931-32: 5. The other research assistants in Chem- istry were Trinita Rivera (BA Columbia 1927) and Carlos L. Cintrón (BS Porto Rico 1920); and Assistant in Bacteriology, Margaret Douglas (BA Cornell 1927).

77. On the nutrition series see, for example: a) Cintrón CL, Cook DH. Nutritional studies of the food stuff used in the Porto Rican dietary. II. Proximate and ash analyses. Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1931; 7:435-441. This study analyzed 22 plants (see list, p. 438). In general, the study shows: (i) that the foods are low in protein, (ii) "all but aguacate are low in fat," (iii) no plants were specially rich in calcium, (iv) most of them contain little iron, and (v) 'some of them contain notable amounts of vitamin A'. 437-438. b) Asthmayer JH, Silva S. Nutritional studies of the food stuffs used in the Porto Rican dietary III. The vitamin Gi (B1) content of the ripe plantain (Musa paradisica, L.) and the pigeon pea (Gandul), (Cañajuan, L.). PR J Public Health Trop Med 1932; 8:1-4. This study, that used the rat growth method (i.e., Sherman & Smith), found that the vitamin B1 content of the gland is four times that of the ripe plátano. The authors mention that their experimental results compare favorably with those from other sources. c) Cook DH, Asthmayer JH, Dalmau LM. Nu- tritional studies of foodstuffs used in the Porto Rican dietary. VII. A com- parative study of the nutritive value of three diets of frequent use in Puerto Rico. PR J Public Health Trop Med 1940;16:3-13. The purpose of this important study was to evaluate through rat growth feeding the chemical composition and nutritional values of "typical diets... consumed by three large groups of people living" in P.R.: "natives of the U.S." (Continental diet), "large groups of families" who buy their food from "caterers" (i.e., from restaurants, hotels, or private homes) (Caterer diet), and "representa- tives... of the poorest country families" (Country Family diet). The cost in cents per person of each diet was: 0.85, 0.15, and 0.08. The method used was: aliquot weights or volumes, mixed and grounded into a homo- geneous part duly samples in total moisture and vitamin A determination. The general results were: "the poor quality of the protein in the County Family Diet is responsible in part for the poor growth response of the rats receiving it as the only source of food deficient": 12. In conclusion, the Country Family diet "has been shown to be deficient in various essential factors": 13.

78. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the pe- riod ending June 30, 1940: 36. The report also states that the Rockefeller Foundation grant will expire this year. The evaluation of the work done was that it "has been of great value since it is concerned with the food habits, welfare, and general health of the public": 36.

79. Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1936-1937: 29-43. "The Eugenics of the Puerto Rican Diet," published in Spanish in 1937, is one of the most comprehensive studies of the nutritional composition of Puerto Rican diets. It is divided into three main sections: a) "The Foundation of the Nutritional Research Program" (p. 29), which describes the goals and methodology of the research program; b) "The Nutritional Research Program" (p. 37-43), which presents the results of research on the nutritional quality of foods in Puerto Rico; and c) "Conclusions and Recommendations" (p. 43). The report concludes that "the poorest country families" have a diet that is deficient in protein, vitamins, and minerals, and that "the Continental diet" has a lower nutritional value than the "Country Family diet," which is more diverse and includes a higher proportion of fresh fruits and vegetables.

80. These three phases of the nutrition chemistry research program at the STM were formulated based on faculty publications in the STM Journal: a) The foundational phase (~1927-1931), the D.H. Cook & Trinita Rivera series, starts with Cook, Vitamin studies in Porto Rico, of 1927, and run continuously up to the year 1931, with Cook and Rivera, "Note on the effect of feeding raw and cooked tubers" (Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1931;6:341-345); b) The second phase (~1930-33/1940) goes from J.H. Asthmayer, "A study of the vitamin B complex of yellow yautia (Xanthosoma sagittifolium) and of plantain (Musa paradisica L)" (Porto Rico J Public Health Trop Med 1930;6:229-233), passing trough Cook and Asthmayer, "Nutrition studies of foodsstuffs used in the Puerto Rican Dietary. VI. The vitamin A content of pasteurized milk and native cheese" (PR J Public Health Trop Med 1933;12:538-362) and ends in 1948 with J.A. Goyco and Asenjo, "Studies on edible yeasts" (PR J Public Health Trop Med 1948;23:471-502). These studies were the product of faculty publications in the STM Journal:


82. Mayo Santana R. Scientific representations at the UPR School of Tropical Medicine. I. Images of Science: Table 4.


88. Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1936-37: 40. The special permits were granted to the following external researchers: Dr. R. Ruiz Nazario, Sra. C. Benitez, Sr. L.M. Laujier, Sra. M. del C. de Fernández.

89. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the pe- riod ending June 30, 1940: 35.

a) First survey: Rodríguez-Pastor, Morales Otero, Payne, Tuberculosis surveys in Puerto Rico. I. b) Second survey: The second survey was a "preliminary report" of a study carried out in two urban communities (i.e., urban sections of San Juan and Bayamón), again using a tuberculin test. The results showed the widespread dissemination of the infection with

91. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1934: 35. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1935: 51. Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1937-38: 40. However, no papers were published in the STM Journal or in external venues of these studies on tuberculosis in the needlework and tobacco industries.


97. On Koppisch herpes virus and other virology studies results see comments in the following reports: Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1935-36:51-52. Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1936-37:55-56. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1939: 71. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1940: 81. In this last report, a negative result in a three-year study to isolate virus of equine encephalomyelitis was taken as a demonstration that the disease was nonexistent on the Island.


99. On Koppisch herpes virus and other virology studies results see comments in the following reports: Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1935-36:51-52. Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1936-37:55-56. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1939: 71. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1940: 81. In this last report, a negative result in a three-year study to isolate virus of equine encephalomyelitis was taken as a demonstration that the disease was nonexistent on the Island.

100. Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1934: 36.


102. The Hospital review of research was based on several yearly academic reports: Rappleye WC. Report of the Dean of the School of Medicine for the period ending June 30, 1934 (pp. 36-37), 1935 (pp. 52-53), 1939 (pp. 23-24), 1940 (pp. 75-80). Bachman GW. Memoria del Director STM, 1935-36 (pp. 43-45), 1936-37 (pp. 48-50), 1937-38 (pp. 43-46).

