REVIEW ARTICLE

Morphine: axon regeneration, neuroprotection, neurotoxicity, tolerance, and neuropathic pain

IDANIS BERRIOS, BS; CRISTINA CASTRO, BS; DAMIEN P. KUFFLER, PhD

Opioids have been used medicinally for millennia for their potent effects on nociception. However, the past 20 years have led to important insights into the influences and mechanisms of opioid actions, which are more extensive than merely analgesia, including human synthesis of opioids, critical roles of opioids during development and following nerve injury, and actions of different opiate alkaloids and their receptors. Due to the vast literature on opioids, the scope of this

pioids have been used as analgesics for millennia. Opium poppy-derived alkaloids, such as morphine and codeine, were isolated at the beginning of the 19th century (1-2), and remain the strongest analgesic compounds. Although opioid influences in humans indicated the presence of endogenous opioid receptors, these receptors were not characterized until the 1970's (3-8).

Initially, trace amounts of morphine in animal and human tissue or fluids were believed to be of dietary origin. However, it was then determined that endogenous morphine was released by pigs undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (9), that the human frontal cortex synthesizes peptides with opiate activity (10), while other human cells produce and release the endogenous alkaloid morphine, and hydromorphone (11-12), which act as antinociceptive agents. The potency of hydromorphone is five times greater than that of morphine, while dihydromorphine and dihydroisomorphine are respectively equipotent to, or 36% as potent as morphine (13), while endogenous opioids exert antinociceptive influences almost 50 times greater than morphine (14). Recent results show that morphine in humans is derived from endogenous precursors of morphine sources (11-12, 15).

The availability of endogenous opioid peptides, endorphins, enkephalins and dynorphins, led to the characterization of the opioid receptor subtypes, mu, delta, review has been limited to opioid actions in maintaining neuron viability during development, promoting neurological function following nerve injuries, in inflammation, disease and against ischemia; alleviating neuropathic pain; raising and lowering cellular immunity; and mechanisms modifying morphine tolerance.

Key words: Analgesia, Addiction, Drug tolerance.

and kappa. These receptors are unevenly distributed in the central nervous system (CNS), where each subtype has its own specific and nonspecific agonists and antagonists (16-18).

Due to the vast literature on opioids, this review focuses on the roles of morphine related to the nervous system, such as an analgesic, neurotrophic influences and neuroprotection, as well as its role in inflammation, because of the close relationship between inflammation and neurodegenerative diseases (19).

Morphine: Nervous System Influences

Opioid Peptides and their Receptors

The main groups of opioid peptides, enkephalins, dynorphins and beta-endorphin, are derived from proenkephalin, prodynorphin and proopiomelanocortin, respectively (20). The endogenous opioid peptide family pronociceptin system is comprised of peptides that are derived from pro-hormone, which act on opioid receptorlike receptors (ORL). Three members of this opioid receptor family are the delta-opioid receptor (DOR), the mu-opioid receptor (MOR), and kappa-opioid receptor (KOR) (21). These receptors belong to the family of seven transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors, and share extensive structural homologies (22-23). These opioid receptors and peptide systems are involved in antinociceptive processes. Endomorphins, endomorphin-1 and -2A are a novel group of peptides in the brain and are unique from other opioid peptides in their structure and high selectivity for the mu-opioid receptor (23-25).

Opioids are influential in reducing inflammatory pain (26-27). Inflammation in the periphery influences the CNS

Institute of Neurobiology, Medical Sciences Campus, University of Puerto Rico.

Address correspondence to: Damien Kuffler, Ph D, Institute of Neurobiology, 201 Blvd. del Valle San Juan, PR 00901, Tel: 787-721-1235 Fax: 787-725-1289 E-mail: dkuffler@hotmail.com

leading to changes in opioid action by increasing the potency of various opioid receptor agonists (25, 28-30). This is indicated by the antinociceptive potency of opioids being greater against various noxious stimuli in animals with peripheral inflammation than in control animals (28-30).

Inflammation-induced enhancement of opioid antinociceptive potency is characteristic of the mu opioid receptors, since morphine elicits a greater increase in spinal potency of mu- than of delta- and kappa-opioid receptor agonists. This enhanced potency of mu-opioid receptor agonists during inflammation appears to be brought about by changes in the affinity or number of the mu-opioid receptors, which is suggested by the increased gene expression for these receptors in the spinal cord dorsal horn (31-32). Similarly, following spinal cord or peripheral nerve damage leading to neuropathic pain, there is an increase in the biosynthesis of endogenous dynorphins (30, 33-35). Enhancement in the synthesis of both opiate receptors and endogenous dynorphins following injury is a straightforward means for ameliorating nociception.

Endomorphins, the endogenous ligands of the muopioid receptor, but not morphine, are effective against neuropathic pain (30). This results not because neuropathic pain is opioid-resistant, but because of the development of a reduced sensitivity to systemic morphine under this condition (36). This leads to a required increased morphine dose to obtain adequate analgesia. The reduction in morphine antinociceptive potency may result from nerve injury reducing the activity of spinal opioid receptors or of opioid signal transduction (37, 38). Alternatively, opioids may induce long-term changes in the numbers and binding activities of opioid receptors (16). These differences in action and consequences are important to understanding the molecular mechanism of opioid action in neuropathic pain, as well as to the development of better and more effective drugs for the treatment of neuropathic pain.

Distribution of Opioid Receptors

MOR are mainly expressed in small-diameter unmyelinated, but also in some large-diameter dorsal root ganglion neurons, and they co-localize with bradykinin B2 receptors (39-40). In addition, some DRG neurons express both MOR and DOR mRNAs, suggesting that the MOR and DOR seen in the spinal dorsal horn originate, at least in part, from DRG neurons (40).

Following nerve injury in mice, MOR expression in DRG neurons undergoes a drastic decrease (41-42). The lower potency of systemic morphine in neuropathic pain is in part caused by a decreased MOR expression in DRG neurons, and the subsequent loss of peripheral morphine analgesia in such a condition (39).

Within 24 hours of ligating the sciatic nerve, there is a transient increase in MOR immuno-reactivity in the cell bodies of DRG and nodose ganglion neurons, and in the sciatic nerve stump proximal to the ligature (43). However, 1 week later, MOR-like immunoreactivity declines in DRG neuron cell bodies of the fourth and fifth lumbar nerves, on the side of the ligation (43). These results indicate that MOR is transported peripherally by axonal flow in the peripheral axons of sensory ganglion neurons, and MOR synthesis in sensory ganglion neurons is influenced by peripheral axon injury.

Two broad classes of nociceptors can be distinguished based on their growth factor requirements and their binding of isolectin B4 (IB4). Both 1-10 µM of the mu-receptor agonist D-ala2, N-Me-Phe4, Gly-ol5 enkephalin (DAMGO), and 1-10 µM morphine have greater effects on high voltage-activated Ca2+ currents in IB4-negative than in IB4-positive cells (44). However, DAMGO has no significant effect on T-type Ca2+ currents in both groups (45). The N-type Ca2+ current is the major subtype of Ca2+ currents inhibited by DAMGO in both IB4-positive and -negative neurons (44). Although DAMGO has no effect on L-type and R-type Ca2+ currents in both groups, it produces greater inhibition on N-type and P/Q-type Ca2+ currents in IB4-negative than IB4-positive neurons (46). Furthermore, double labeling reveals significantly higher mu opioid receptor immunoreactivity in IB4-negative than IB4-positive cells (44). These results suggest that N-and P/Q-type Ca2+ currents are more sensitive to inhibition by the mu opioids in IB4-negative than IB4-positive DRG neurons.

Immunostaining with MOR and neurofilament (NF200) antibodies, combined with calcium imaging of MOR function indicates that a larger number of neonatal than adult DRG neurons express functional MOR (57% versus 40%) (40, 47). Further, with age, MOR expression is confined to the large, neurofilament positive sensory neurons, while expression in small, nociceptive, neurofilament negative neurons remains unchanged (40). Sensory threshold testing shows that the analgesic potency of systemic morphine to mechanical stimulation is significantly greater in the neonate and declines with postnatal age. While the analgesic potency to thermal nociceptive stimuli does not change with postnatal age (47). This is consistent with postnatal regulation of MOR expressed by large DRG neurons (47).

Opioid Modulation of the Immune System

A functional immune system is important to fight against neurotoxicity in neurodegenerative diseases (48-49). Since the late 19th century, morphine has been used to suppress cellular immunity and lower resistance to bacterial infection (50-51). While exogenous opioids mediate immunosuppression, endogenous opiates exert the opposite actions (50). Endogenous opioid peptides are involved in CNS functions from development to immune modulation (52-53). These influences are mediated mostly via specific opioid receptors uniquely localized in different brain regions and cells (52).

Acute and chronic opioid administration have inhibitory effects on humoral and cellular immune responses, including antibody production, natural killer cell activity, cytokine expression, and phagocytic activity. Opiates behave like cytokines, modulating the immune response by interacting with their receptors in the CNS and in the periphery (54-55). Potential mechanisms by which central opiates modulate peripheral immune functions may involve both the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, and the autonomic nervous system (56-61). Opioid receptors also exist outside the CNS in peripheral nerves and in immune inflammatory cells (50).

Neurogenic inflammation and related pain can be modulated by opioids inhibiting the function of primary afferent neurons. Inflammatory conditions lead to an increased anterograde axonal transport of opioid receptors from dorsal root ganglia (DRG) toward their peripheral nerve endings (62). The increased number of opioid receptors (among other mechanisms) leads to the improved analgesic effects of exogenously administered ligands (i.e. morphine) and of endogenous leukocytederived opioid peptides (i.e. beta-endorphin) (62). This suggests that during inflammatory processes, endogenous opioid peptides can be secreted from immunocytes, occupy peripheral opioid receptors on sensory nerve endings, and thereby produce analgesia by inhibiting the excitability of these nerves, or by the release of proinflammatory neuropeptides (62).

When consumed, heroin is rapidly transformed to 6acetylmorphine (6-AM), then to morphine, which in turn is mainly metabolized to morphine-3-glucuronide (M3G) and, to a lesser extent, to morphine-6-glucuronide (M6G). Unlike M3G, M6G is a potent opioid agonist (63). In the pathogenesis of HIV-1 infection in heroin drug addicts, immunosuppression mediated by opiates, such as morphine, are postulated to promote progression of the virus and development of secondary opportunistic infections (52). Opiates may also promote immunodeficiency virus infection by decreasing the secretion of alpha and beta chemokines, which are important inhibitory cytokines for the expression of HIV (50, 64). Simultaneously, opiates may cause an increased expression of chemoreceptors CCR5 and CCR3, which are co-receptors for the virus, and increases in free radicals (reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) and nitric oxide (NO)), which are produced by activated glial cells (microglia and astrocytes) (52, 65-66).

Peripheral immunosupression is mediated at least in part by opioid receptors located in the CNS, and intrathecally administered opioids do not exert the same immunosuppressive effects (67-69). These findings may have important clinical implications for patients receiving long-term opioid therapy for malignant and nonmalignant pain.

Actions of Morphine at Ultra-low Concentrations on Neurite Outgrowth

Axon injury leads to prominent cellular responses, including enhanced synthesis of RNA and protein in the neuronal perikaryon, and proliferation of reactive Schwann cells (70). Because morphine significantly depresses cellular metabolism, it also influence these and other neuron/axon responses involved in nerve regeneration (70-71).

An ultra low concentration of morphine $(10^{-14}M)$ has neurotrophic influences, and increases the length of the longest process extended by adult rat spinal and cortical neurons by 24% and 18%, respectively (72). These influences are exerted in 61% of the spinal neurons, and 48% of the cortical neurons (72). Morphine treatment also increases the number of calcitonin gene-related peptideimmunoreactive neurons possessing multiple, long branches (i.e. with at least one branch >0.5 mm) (73). However, at higher concentrations, morphine (10⁻⁶⁻M) has no significant effect on neurite length (72).

Electrophysiological studies on nociceptive DRG neurons in vitro show that extremely low (fM-nM) concentrations of morphine, and many other bimodallyacting mu, delta and kappa opioid agonists, elicit direct excitatory opioid receptor-mediated effects, whereas higher (μ M) opioid concentrations evoke inhibitory effects (72, 74). These findings indicate that doses of morphine far below those currently required for clinical treatment of pain may become effective when opioid hyperalgesic effects are blocked by co-administration of appropriately low doses of opioid antagonists (74). This low-dose-morphine co-treatment procedure should markedly attenuate morphine tolerance, dependence, and other aversive side effects.

Morphine can also inhibit axon regeneration. Acute administration of morphine into lesioned rat facial nerve axons slows the rate of axon regeneration, and results in axons with smaller diameters (70). Chronic morphine treatment for 2 weeks results in significantly fewer axons regenerating, although the axons have normal diameters (70). Further, chronic morphine exposure causes Schwann cell hypertrophy, proliferation, and inhibition of myelin debris removal by Schwann cells (70). Morphine also causes Schwann cells to down-regulate their synthesis and release of neurotrophic factors, which is most likely the cause of the significantly reduced rate and extent of axon regeneration (70).

Exogenous opioids influence and modulate neuronal and glial cell function via an opioid receptor mediated mechanism leading to brain protection or damage (52). Kappa opioid receptor (KOR) ligands appear to play a neuroprotective role (52, 75-77). However, more studies are needed to determine how opioids exert their effects on glial cells and neurons.

Morphine Induced Neuroprotection and Neuron Death

Morphine (0.1-10 μ M) provides neuroprotection against ischemia (20 minutes) of cerebellar Purkinje, there is an 18% increase (39 to 57%) in the yield of viable neurons if these neurons are pretreated with morphine prior to ischemic insult (78-81). This influence is via activation of delta1-opioid receptors, possibly involving mitochondrial adenosine triphosphate-sensitive potassium channel activation, and free radical production (78,81). Thus, opioids and agents that specifically work on signaling molecules for opioid preconditioning-induced protection may prove to be useful in inducing protection against ischemia in clinical practice.

Neuron death during development and neurodegenerative diseases, is induced by apoptosis (triggered cell death) and necrosis (death due to lack of neurotrophic nurture). Opiates promote apoptotic death in cells of both the immune and nervous systems, but can also potentiate neuron survival during development (82-84). In multiple inflammatory disease models, such as Parkinson's disease, traditional anti-inflammatory drugs have limited therapeutic use because of their narrow spectrum and severe side effects after long-term use (85). However, morphinans, a class of compounds containing the basic morphine structure, provide neuroprotection (85-88).

Morphometric analysis of the brains of individuals who died of opiate overdose, show that the largest number of dead neurons are those with a small diameter (89). This neuron death is attributed to morphine toxicity of small morphine-sensitive neurons (90).

Caspases are intracellular cysteine proteases that induce cell death and inflammation. Caspase-3 activation is a major mediator of apoptotic and necrotic cell death (91-92). Morphine administration induces the up regulation of the caspase-3 and Bax proteins, and the down regulation of the antiapoptotic Bcl-2 protein in the spinal cord dorsal horn (93-94). Thus, morphine also induces neuron death.

Morphine-induced neuronal apoptosis can be blocked by the co-administration of morphine with caspase inhibitors (83, 93). Naloxone, the nonselective DOR antagonist, also blocks morphine-induced apoptosis (83). These findings indicate the involvement of activated opiate receptors and caspase-3 in morphine-induced apoptosis. Thus, caspase inhibitors may provide a therapeutic protection against morphine induced apoptosis.

The blockade of spinal caspase-like activity also partially prevents morphine tolerance and the associated increase in nociceptive sensitivity. These results indicate an opioidinduced neurotoxic consequence regulated by the NMDAR-caspase pathway, which may have clinical implications in opioid therapy and substance abuse (93).

Daily administration of exogenous morphine to chick embryos increases the number ciliary ganglion, but not spinal neurons that survive the normal death phase during development (95). Conversely, the chronic application of naltrexone (long-lasting opiate antagonist), significantly increases the number of ganglion neurons that die (96). These findings indicate the critical role of endogenous opioids in regulating neuron survival and death during development, and that the elimination of endogenous opioids, or blocking their receptors, influences neurons during development.

Recent observations of dissociated adult frog and human, but not rat, DRG neurons, indicate that they have six different subpopulations that can be characterized at the light microscopic level based upon neuron, soma diameter, and the presence of cytoplasmic granules with unique morphologies (Kuffler, unpublished results). Current experiments are examining whether these apparent different neuron subpopulations correspond to distinct physiological subpopulations of DRG neurons. If so, this simple morphological characterization of subpopulations will allow opiate responding neurons to be selected from a large mixed population of sensory neurons and studied with much greater reliability than presently possible.

Exposure of microglia and neurons in culture to 10⁻⁶ M morphine induces a 4-fold increase in apoptosis of these cells (83, 97-98). In contrast, astrocytes are completely resistant to morphine-induced apoptosis (83, 99). Due to the extensive glia-neuron interactions, morphine-triggered glial death will influence the neurons associated with these glial cells.

Neuropathic Pain

Neuropathic pain is often a consequence of nerve injury due to surgery, bone compression, diabetes, or infection, and is generally resistant to currently available treatments. Morphine is the first-line strong opioid of choice for patients with extreme pain. While most patients achieve adequate analgesia with morphine, a significant minority

Neurobiological Effects of Morphine Berrios, I., et al.

suffer either intolerable side effects, inadequate pain relief, or both (100-102). For these patients, switching to an alternative opioid is an established clinical practice (101, 103-104). However, there is no good evidence for the effectiveness of opioid switching (102, 105). Further, the treatment of neuropathic pain is an area of largely unmet medical need.

Microglia are activated by CNS trauma, apoptosis, ischemia, inflammation, and infection (106-108). When activated, microglia show a progressive series of changes in morphology, gene expression, function, and number; and produce and release various chemical mediators, including proinflammatory cytokines that can produce immunological actions and act on neurons to alter their function (109).

Extracellular adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) and activated microglia play important roles in neuropathic pain hypersensitivity (109). Activated spinal microglia enhance their expression of the ATP receptors subtype P2X4; and the presence of ATP leads to neuropathic pain. ATP facilitates spinal pain transmission via ionotropic P2X nucleotide receptors (110). This can be demonstrated by blocking the P2X4 receptor pharmacologically, which reduces the neuropathic pain (109). It appears that neuropathic pain results from the activation of the ATP receptors on activated microglia, which triggers them to release several cytokines, such as interleukin-1beta (IL-1beta), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) leading to neuropathic pain (109).

The reduction in analgesic potency against neuropathic pain of systemic administration of morphine over time appears as a result from neurochemical changes in DRG and spinal cord neurons, a loss of morphine analgesia at the periphery (39), and a reduction in the retrograde transport of nerve growth factor (NGF) (111).

Chronic intrathecal infusion of NGF reverses neuropathic pain symptoms and restores morphine's effectiveness in an animal model of neuropathic pain (111). Spinal infusion of NGF does not affect the expression of tactile allodynia or thermal (hot) hyperalgesia in neuropathic rats, although it significantly increases cold water response by day 14 (111). Morphine also substantially attenuates neuropathy-induced warm and cold hyperalgesia, as well as tactile allodynia in neuropathic rats chronically infused with NGF (111). Thus, NGF appears critical for maintaining the neurochemical homeostasis in nociceptive neurons, and its administration may be beneficial in restoring and/or maintaining opioid analgesia in chronic pain conditions resulting from traumatic nerve injury.

Following nerve injury in mice, the dose-response curves for morphine analgesia is unchanged (111). This indicates there is no decrease in morphine potency at the supraspinal level. However, the dose-dependent analgesia produced by intraplantar morphine in sham-operated mice almost completely disappears in nerve-injured mice. Using a sensitive algogenic-induced nociceptive flexion test, one sees a significant reduction in the analgesic potency of systemic morphine for bradykinin (BK) nociception in nerve-injured mice, and the analgesic effect of morphine against BK nociception in sham-operated mice disappears in nerve-injured mice (111).

The functional down regulation of mu-opioid receptors on sensory neurons following a nerve lesion contributes to the poor efficacy of opioids in neuropathic pain (18, 112, 113). Also, the increased effectiveness of nociceptin after axotomy supports the hypothesis that its actions are mediated via a non-opioid receptor (112). Despite this, spinal administration of nociceptin, or agonists that activate ORL1 (opioid-like orphan receptor), may prove to be of clinical interest in the management of neuropathic pain.

Morphine Tolerance

Tolerance to opiate analgesia reduces its effectiveness in the treatment of severe pain. Tolerance appears to result for a number of reasons. One is raising level of pain, resulting from increasing nociceptive input as disease or injury progresses (114). Another is neuronal adaptation to continuous opiate administration, leading to over activity of pro-nociceptive systems (115-116). The development of morphine tolerance (with the dose required to relieve pain increasing from 0.5, 1, and 5 to 10 µM morphine over 6 days) is correlated with a significant increase in calcitonin-gene-related-peptide-like immunoreactivity (CGRP-IR) in primary sensory afferents of the dorsal horn (117-119). This suggests the involvement of changes in pain-related neuropeptides in DRG neurons (118). Morphine treatment also increases the number of calcitonin gene-related peptideimmunoreactive neurons possessing multiple, long branches (i.e. with at least one branch > 0.5 mm) (73). The development of tolerance to spinally infused morphine is modulated by the blockade of dorsal horn CGRP receptors using the potent CGRP antagonist hCGRP (8-37, 118). Data also show that the phosphorylation of MAP kinases and CREB plays a role in the morphine-induced increase in spinal CGRP in primary sensory afferents (116). The presence of other spinally located peptides such as substance P, galanin, and neuropeptide Y are unaffected (118). These findings suggest that CGRP receptor antagonists could be useful adjuncts in the treatment of pain and tolerance to the antinociceptive effects of morphine.

The initial effects of opioid administration in most individuals are analgesia, sedation, nausea/vomiting,

respiratory depression, pupillary constriction, constipation, and euphoria or dysphoria (114). However, tolerance to different opioid effects develops at different rates, called selective tolerance. While tolerance to nausea, vomiting, sedation, euphoria and respiratory depression occur rapidly, there is minimal development of tolerance to constipation and miosis (114). Such diversity suggests receptor-related differences in the speed of tolerance development. Administration of compounds such as benzodiazepines together with opioids seems to increase the rate of tolerance development to opiates.

Morphine tolerance may also be due to a reduction in the activity of the descending inhibitory neuronal connections (120). Thus, the higher the intrinsic activity of an opioid at one receptor site, the fewer receptors needed to induce a potent analgesic effect. As a net result, the incidence of tolerance is less likely to become clinically apparent when potent opioid ligands, such as fentanyl or sufentanil, are administered (114)

Changes in metabolism appear to have little effect on the rate of development of morphine tolerance (121-122). In chronic pain treatment with morphine, an increased ratio of the metabolite morphine-3-glucuronide, with antiopioid effects, to morphine-6-glucuronide, is associated with staggering doses of the analgesic. Opioids that interact with mu- and/or kappa-binding sites, demonstrate an adaptation process called desensitization, which is due to a reduced interaction of the receptors with the internal second messenger G-protein system (114). This influence is only brief following ligand binding. Another mechanism underlying tolerance development is internalization (endocytosis) of the opioid receptors. This short-lived phenomenon leads to the availability of fewer receptorbinding sites for mediating the analgesia. A mechanism of long-term opioid binding is subsequent protein kinase C (PKC), phospholipase C (PLC) translocation, and activation of nitric oxide synthetase (NOS). These lead to activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor leading to its anti-opioid effect and opioid tolerance (114).

Conclusions

In addition to their roles as analgesics, exogenously administered, and endogenous released opiates have clear and extensive influences on many human physiological functions. Some of these are similar and some opposite. Opiates play important roles during fetal brain development, recovery following neurological injuries, and on the course of certain neurodegenerative diseases. Further studies are needed to characterize the effects and mechanisms by which opoids exert their effects on glial cells, Schwann cells. Additionally, it is critical to gain great understanding of the influences of opioids on neurons, and how these effects alter the interactions between glia, Schwann cells and neurons, and whether it may be possible to use opioids clinically to promote axon regeneration and neuroprotection following CNS trauma. Additional studies are also required to determine how the development of opioid tolerance can be slowed or prevented. Finally, it is vital to develop more potent opioid receptor agonists and to understand how additional factors or drugs can be used to potentiate the effects of exogenous and endogenous opiates.

Resumen

Por milenios, los opioides se han utilizado medicinalmente por su efecto potente y selectivo sobre la nocicepción, y por su pequeño efecto sobre otras modalidades sensoriales. Los pasados 20 años han llevado al conocimiento de las influencias de los opioides y sus mecanismos de acción, incluidos los opioides peptídicos endógenos, al conocimiento de su expresión genética, su metabolismo enzimático y la caracterización de los receptores opioides a nivel molecular. Estos intereses surgieron como resultado de la realización que los opioides ejercen efectos más extensos que la analgesia sola. Los humanos sintetizan opioides y los opioides juegan un papel crítico durante el desarrollo y luego de un daño al nervio en el adulto. Este repaso examina alguna de las acciones de los opioides, incluido su crítico rol durante el desarrollo del sistema nervioso en mantener las neuronas vivas, que de otra manera morirían, proveyendo neuroprotección en contra de la isquemia que puede surgir luego de una lesión, aliviando el dolor neuropático, proveyendo mecanismos para modificar la tolerancia a la morfina, aumentando o disminuyendo la inmunidad celular, y su rol en la promoción de la función neurológica luego de daños a los nervios y durante la inflamación y la enfermedad.

References

- Jurna, I. [Serturner and morphine—a historical vignette]. Schmerz 2003;17:280-283.
- 2. Hosztafi, S. The discovery of alkaloids. Pharmazie 1997;52:546-550.
- Kosterlitz, HW and Leslie, FM. Comparison of the receptor binding characteristics of opiate agonists interacting with muor kappa-receptors. Br J Pharmacol 1978;64:607-614.
- 4. Kosterlitz, HW, Leslie, FM and Waterfield, AA. Narcotic agonist and antagonist potencies of a homologous series of N-alkylnorketobemidones measured by the guinea-pig ileum and mouse vas deferens methods. J Pharm Pharmacol 1975;27:73-78.
- Snyder, SH and Matthysse, S. Opiate receptor mechanisms. Neurosci Res Program Bull 1975;13:1-166.

- Hughes, J. Isolation of an endogenous compound from the brain with pharmacological properties similar to morphine. Brain Res 1975;88:295-308.
- Hughes, J, Smith, T, Morgan, B, et al. Purification and properties of enkephalin - the possible endogenous ligand for the morphine receptor. Life Sci 1975;16:1753-1758.
- Hughes, J, Smith, TW, Kosterlitz, HW, et al. Identification of two related pentapeptides from the brain with potent opiate agonist activity. Nature 1975;258:577-580.
- Brix-Christensen, V, Goumon, Y, Tonnesen, E, et al. Endogenous morphine is produced in response to cardiopulmonary bypass in neonatal pigs. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2000;44:1204-1208.
- Erchegyi, J, Kastin, AJ and Zadina, JE. Isolation of a novel tetrapeptide with opiate and antiopiate activity from human brain cortex: Tyr-Pro-Trp-Gly-NH2 (Tyr-W-MIF-1). Peptides 1992;13:623-631.
- 11.Poeaknapo, C, Schmidt, J, Brandsch, M, et al. Endogenous formation of morphine in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:14091-14096.
- Poeaknapo, C. Mammalian morphine: de novo formation of morphine in human cells. Med Sci Monit 2005;11:MS6-17.
- 13.Zheng, M, McErlane, KM and Ong, MC. Identification and synthesis of norhydromorphone, and determination of antinociceptive activities in the rat formalin test. Life Sci 2004;75:3129-3146.
- 14. Li, CH, Yamashiro, D, Tseng, LF, et al. Synthesis and analgesic activity of human beta-endorphin. J Med Chem 1977;20: 325-328.
- 15.Boettcher, C, Fellermeier, M, Drager, B, et al. How human neuroblastoma cells make morphine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:8495-8500.
- Slamberova, R. [Opioid receptors of the CNS: function, structure and distribution]. Cesk Fysiol 2004;53:159-166.
- 17. Abbadie, C, Pan, YX and Pasternak, GW. Immunohistochemical study of the expression of exon11-containing mu opioid receptor variants in mouse brain. Neuroscience 2004;127:419-430.
- 18. Stone, LS, Vulchanova, L, Riedl, MS, et al. Effects of peripheral nerve injury on delta opioid receptor (DOR) immunoreactivity in the rat spinal cord. Neurosci Lett 2004;361:208-211.
- 19. Menendez, A and Kuffler, D. Are there causal relationships between the development of the inflammatory diseases amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and asthma? P R Health Sci J 2006;25:51-66.
- 20. Chavkin, C, Shoemaker, WJ, McGinty, JF, et al. Characterization of the prodynorphin and proenkephalin neuropeptide systems in rat hippocampus. J Neurosci 1985;5:808-816.
- 21.Zhang, S, Tong, Y, Tian, M, et al. Dynorphin A as a potential endogenous ligand for four members of the opioid receptor gene family. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1998;286:136-141.
- 22.Zaki, PA, Bilsky, EJ, Vanderah, TW, et al. Opioid receptor types and subtypes: the delta receptor as a model. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 1996;36:379-401.
- 23. Okada, Y, Tsuda, Y, Bryant, SD, et al. Endomorphins and related opioid peptides. Vitam Horm 2002;65:257-279.
- 24. Zhao, QY, Chen, Q, Yang, DJ, et al. Endomorphin 1[psi] and endomorphin 2[psi], endomorphins analogues containing a reduced (CH2NH) amide bond between Tyr1 and Pro2, display partial agonist potency but significant antinociception. Life Sci 2005;77:1155-1165.
- 25.Zadina, JE. Isolation and distribution of endomorphins in the central nervous system. Jpn J Pharmacol 2002;89:203-208.
- 26. Kazemi, AP, Rezazadeh, S and Gharacheh, HR. Pain relief after arthroscopic knee surgery—intraarticular sufentanil vs morphine. Middle East J Anesthesiol 2004;17:1099-1112.
- 27. Hsu, SP, Shih, YH, Huang, MC, et al. Repair of multiple cervical

root avulsion with sural nerve graft. Injury 2004;35:896-907.

- 28.Sawynok, J and Liu, XJ. Adenosine in the spinal cord and periphery: release and regulation of pain. Prog Neurobiol 2003;69:313-340.
- 29. Hurley, RW, Cohen, SP, Williams, KA, et al. The analgesic effects of perioperative gabapentin on postoperative pain: a meta-analysis. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2006;31:237-247.
- Przewlocki, R and Przewlocka, B. Opioids in chronic pain. Eur J Pharmacol 2001;429:79-91.
- Celani, MG, Spizzichino, L, Ricci, S, et al. Spinal cord injury in Italy: A multicenter retrospective study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001;82:589-596.
- 32. Hurley, RW and Hammond, DL. The analgesic effects of supraspinal mu and delta opioid receptor agonists are potentiated during persistent inflammation. J Neurosci 2000;20:1249-1259.
- 33.Laughlin, TM, Vanderah, TW, Lashbrook, J, et al. Spinally administered dynorphin A produces long-lasting allodynia: involvement of NMDA but not opioid receptors. Pain 1997;72:253-260.
- 34. Tachibana, T, Miki, K, Fukuoka, T, et al. Dynorphin mRNA expression in dorsal horn neurons after traumatic spinal cord injury: temporal and spatial analysis using in situ hybridization. J Neurotrauma 1998;15:485-494.
- 35. Yakovlev, AG and Faden, AI. Sequential expression of c-fos protooncogene, TNF-alpha, and dynorphin genes in spinal cord following experimental traumatic injury. Mol Chem Neuropathol 1994;23:179-190.
- 36. Abdul-Ghani, M, Nawaf, G, Nawaf, F, et al. Increased prevalence of microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes patients with the metabolic syndrome. Isr Med Assoc J 2006;8:378-382.
- 37. Hao, JX, Xu, IS, Wiesenfeld-Hallin, Z, et al. Anti-hyperalgesic and anti-allodynic effects of intrathecal nociceptin/orphanin FQ in rats after spinal cord injury, peripheral nerve injury and inflammation. Pain 1998;76:385-393.
- 38. Nichols, ML, Lopez, Y, Ossipov, MH, et al. Enhancement of the antiallodynic and antinociceptive efficacy of spinal morphine by antisera to dynorphin A (1-13) or MK-801 in a nerve-ligation model of peripheral neuropathy. Pain 1997;69:317-322.
- 39. Rashid, MH, Inoue, M, Toda, K, et al. Loss of peripheral morphine analgesia contributes to the reduced effectiveness of systemic morphine in neuropathic pain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004;309:380-387.
- 40. Wang, H and Wessendorf, MW. Equal proportions of small and large DRG neurons express opioid receptor mRNAs. J Comp Neurol 2001;429:590-600.
- 41. Ji, RR, Zhang, Q, Law, PY, et al. Expression of mu-, delta-, and kappa-opioid receptor-like immunoreactivities in rat dorsal root ganglia after carrageenan-induced inflammation. J Neurosci 1995;15:8156-8166.
- 42. Mitsumoto, Y, Dhar, DK, Yu, L, et al. FK506 with portal decompression exerts beneficial effects following extended hepatectomy in dogs. Eur Surg Res 1999;31:48-56.
- 43.Li, JL, Kaneko, T and Mizuno, N. Effects of peripheral nerve ligation on expression of mu-opioid receptor in sensory ganglion neurons: an immunohistochemical study in dorsal root and nodose ganglion neurons of the rat. Neurosci Lett 1996;214:91-94.
- 44. Wu, ZZ, Chen, SR and Pan, HL. Differential sensitivity of Nand P/Q-type Ca2+ channel currents to a mu opioid in isolectin B4-positive and -negative dorsal root ganglion neurons. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004;311:939-947.
- 45. Schroeder, JE, Fischbach, PS, Zheng, D, et al. Activation of mu opioid receptors inhibits transient high- and low-threshold Ca2+ currents, but spares a sustained current. Neuron 1991;6:13-20.
- 46. Dogrul, A, Yesilyurt, O, Isimer, A, et al. L-type and T-type

calcium channel blockade potentiate the analgesic effects of morphine and selective mu opioid agonist, but not to selective delta and kappa agonist at the level of the spinal cord in mice. Pain 2001;93:61-68.

- 47. Nandi, R, Beacham, D, Middleton, J, et al. The functional expression of mu opioid receptors on sensory neurons is developmentally regulated; morphine analgesia is less selective in the neonate. Pain 2004;111:38-50.
- Tompach, PC, Lew, D and Stoll, JL. Cell response to hyperbaric oxygen treatment. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997;26:82-86.
- 49. Licinio, J and Wong, ML. The role of inflammatory mediators in the biology of major depression: central nervous system cytokines modulate the biological substrate of depressive symptoms, regulate stress-responsive systems, and contribute to neurotoxicity and neuroprotection. Mol Psychiatry 1999;4:317-327.
- 50. Vallejo, R, de Leon-Casasola, O and Benyamin, R. Opioid therapy and immunosuppression: a review. Am J Ther 2004;11:354-365.
- 51. Martucci, C, Panerai, AE and Sacerdote, P. Chronic fentanyl or buprenorphine infusion in the mouse: similar analgesic profile but different effects on immune responses. Pain 2004;110: 385-392.
- 52.Fu, X, Shen, Z, Chen, Y, et al. Recombinant bovine basic fibroblast growth factor accelerates wound healing in patients with burns, donor sites and chronic dermal ulcers. Chin Med J (Engl) 2000;113:367-371.
- 53. Dougherty, PM, Harper, C and Dafny, N. The effect of alphainterferon, cyclosporine A, and radiation-induced immune suppression on morphine-induced hypothermia and tolerance. Life Sci 1986;39:2191-2197.
- 54. Simmons, RM, Webster, AA, Kalra, AB, et al. Group II mGluR receptor agonists are effective in persistent and neuropathic pain models in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2002;73: 419-427.
- 55. Ruzicka, BB and Akil, H. The interleukin-1beta-mediated regulation of proenkephalin and opioid receptor messenger RNA in primary astrocyte-enriched cultures. Neuroscience 1997;79:517-524.
- 56. Yamauchi, N, Shibasaki, T, Wakabayashi, I, et al. Brain betaendorphin and other opioids are involved in restraint stressinduced stimulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, the sympathetic nervous system, and the adrenal medulla in the rat. Brain Res 1997;777:140-146.
- 57. Friedman, H, Pross, S and Klein, TW. Addictive drugs and their relationship with infectious diseases. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2006;47:330-342.
- 58. Madden, JJ, Whaley, WL and Ketelsen, D. Opiate binding sites in the cellular immune system: expression and regulation. J Neuroimmunol 1998;83:57-62.
- 59.Plotsky, PM. Opioid inhibition of immunoreactive corticotropin-releasing factor secretion into the hypophysialportal circulation of rats. Regul Pept 1986;16:235-242.
- 60. Matta, S, Saphier, D, Lysle, D, et al. The brain-immune axis: role of opiates and other substances of abuse, the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis and behavior. Adv Exp Med Biol 1995;373:1-9.
- 61. Calogero, AE, Scaccianoce, S, Burrello, N, et al. The kappaopioid receptor agonist MR-2034 stimulates the rat hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis: studies in vivo and in vitro. J Neuroendocrinol 1996;8:579-585.
- 62. Puehler, W and Stein, C. Controlling pain by influencing neurogenic pathways. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2005;31:103-113, ix.

- 63. Antonilli, L, Semeraro, F, Suriano, C, et al. High levels of morphine-6-glucuronide in street heroin addicts. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2003;170:200-204.
- 64. Mahajan, SD, Schwartz, SA, Shanahan, TC, et al. Morphine regulates gene expression of alpha- and beta-chemokines and their receptors on astroglial cells via the opioid mu receptor. J Immunol 2002;169:3589-3599.
- 65. Royal, W, 3rd, Leander, M, Chen, YE, et al. Nuclear receptor activation and interaction with morphine. J Neuroimmunol 2004;157:61-65.
- 66. Mahajan, SD, Aalinkeel, R, Reynolds, JL, et al. Morphine exacerbates HIV-1 viral protein gp120 induced modulation of chemokine gene expression in U373 astrocytoma cells. Curr HIV Res 2005;3:277-288.
- 67. Zhang, Y, Du, LN, Wu, GC, et al. Modulation of intrathecal morphine-induced immunosuppression by microinjection of naloxone into periaqueductal gray. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao 1998;19:519-522.
- 68. Sondell, M, Sundler, F and Kanje, M. Vascular endothelial growth factor is a neurotrophic factor which stimulates axonal outgrowth through the flk-1 receptor. Eur J Neurosci 2000;12:4243-4254.
- 69. Tuszynski, MH, Peterson, DA, Ray, J, et al. Fibroblasts genetically modified to produce nerve growth factor induce robust neuritic ingrowth after grafting to the spinal cord. Exp Neurol 1994;126:1-14.
- 70.Sinatra, RS and Ford, DH. The effects of acute and chronic morphine treatment on the process of facial nerve regeneration. Brain Res 1979;175:315-325.
- 71. Gorio, A, Di Giulio, AM, Germani, E, et al. Perinatal morphine treatment inhibits pruning effect and regeneration of serotoninergic pathways following neonatal 5,7-HT lesions. J Neurosci Res 1993;34:462-471.
- 72.Brailoiu, E, Hoard, J, Brailoiu, GC, et al. Ultra low concentrations of morphine increase neurite outgrowth in cultured rat spinal cord and cerebral cortical neurons. Neurosci Lett 2004;365:10-13.
- 73. Ma, W, Zheng, WH, Kar, S, et al. Morphine treatment induced calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance P increases in cultured dorsal root ganglion neurons. Neuroscience 2000;99:529-539.
- 74. Crain, SM and Shen, KF. Acute thermal hyperalgesia elicited by low-dose morphine in normal mice is blocked by ultra-lowdose naltrexone, unmasking potent opioid analgesia. Brain Res 2001;888:75-82.
- 75. Chen, CH, Toung, TJ, Hurn, PD, et al. Ischemic neuroprotection with selective kappa-opioid receptor agonist is gender specific. Stroke 2005;36:1557-1561.
- 76.Zhang, J, Hoffert, C, Vu, HK, et al. Induction of CB2 receptor expression in the rat spinal cord of neuropathic but not inflammatory chronic pain models. Eur J Neurosci 2003;17:2750-2754.
- 77. Goyagi, T, Toung, TJ, Kirsch, JR, et al. Neuroprotective kappaopioid receptor agonist BRL 52537 attenuates ischemia-evoked nitric oxide production in vivo in rats. Stroke 2003;34:1533-1538.
- 78. Lim, YJ, Zheng, S and Zuo, Z. Morphine preconditions Purkinje cells against cell death under in vitro simulated ischemiareperfusion conditions. Anesthesiology 2004;100:562-568.
- 79. Mastronardi, P and Cafiero, T. Rational use of opioids. Minerva Anestesiol 2001;67:332-337.
- 80. Shibata, S, Tominaga, K and Watanabe, S. kappa-Opioid receptor agonist protects against ischemic reduction of 2-deoxyglucose uptake in morphine-tolerant rats. Eur J Pharmacol

1995;279:197-202.

- Barry, U and Zuo, Z. Opioids: old drugs for potential new applications. Curr Pharm Des 2005;11:1343-1350.
- 82. Iglesias, M, Segura, MF, Comella, JX, et al. Mu-opioid receptor activation prevents apoptosis following serum withdrawal in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells and cortical neurons via phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. Neuropharmacology 2003;44: 482-492.
- 83.Hu, S, Sheng, WS, Lokensgard, JR, et al. Morphine induces apoptosis of human microglia and neurons. Neuropharmacology 2002;42:829-836.
- 84. Vogt, PM, Hauser, J, Rossbach, O, et al. Polyvinyl pyrrolidoneiodine liposome hydrogel improves epithelialization by combining moisture and antisepis. A new concept in wound therapy. Wound Repair Regen 2001;9:116-122.
- 85.Beedle, AM, McRory, JE, Poirot, O, et al. Agonist-independent modulation of N-type calcium channels by ORL1 receptors. Nat Neurosci 2004;7:118-125.
- 86.Lanzafame, RJ, Stadler, I, Coleman, J, et al. Temperaturecontrolled 830-nm low-level laser therapy of experimental pressure ulcers. Photomed Laser Surg 2004;22:483-488.
- 87. Liao, SL, Chen, WY, Raung, SL, et al. Neuroprotection of naloxone against ischemic injury in rats: role of mu receptor antagonism. Neurosci Lett 2003;345:169-172.
- 88.Liu, Y, Qin, L, Li, G, et al. Dextromethorphan protects dopaminergic neurons against inflammation-mediated degeneration through inhibition of microglial activation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2003;305:212-218.
- 89. Fenato, F, Giorgetti, R, Zancaner, S, et al. Opiate-related death: morphometric study of neurons from the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve. Boll Soc Ital Biol Sper 1997;73:23-30.
- 90. Borgland, SL, Connor, M and Christie, MJ. Nociceptin inhibits calcium channel currents in a subpopulation of small nociceptive trigeminal ganglion neurons in mouse. J Physiol 2001;536: 35-47.
- 91. Faubel, S and Edelstein, CL. Caspases as drug targets in ischemic organ injury. Curr Drug Targets Immune Endocr Metabol Disord 2005;5:269-287.
- 92. Koceva-Chyla, A, Jedrzejczak, M, Skierski, J, et al. Mechanisms of induction of apoptosis by anthraquinone anticancer drugs aclarubicin and mitoxantrone in comparison with doxorubicin: Relation to drug cytotoxicity and caspase-3 activation. Apoptosis 2005;10:1497-1514.
- 93. Mao, J, Sung, B, Ji, RR, et al. Neuronal apoptosis associated with morphine tolerance: evidence for an opioid-induced neurotoxic mechanism. J Neurosci 2002;22:7650-7661.
- 94. Boronat, MA, Garcia-Fuster, MJ and Garcia-Sevilla, JA. Chronic morphine induces up-regulation of the pro-apoptotic Fas receptor and down-regulation of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 oncoprotein in rat brain. Br J Pharmacol 2001;134: 1263-1270.
- 95. Meriney, SD, Gray, DB and Pilar, G. Morphine-induced delay of normal cell death in the avian ciliary ganglion. Science 1985;228:1451-1453.
- Meriney, SD, Ford, MJ, Oliva, D, et al. Endogenous opioids modulate neuronal survival in the developing avian ciliary ganglion. J Neurosci 1991;11:3705-3717.
- 97. Khurdayan, VK, Buch, S, El-Hage, N, et al. Preferential vulnerability of astroglia and glial precursors to combined opioid and HIV-1 Tat exposure in vitro. Eur J Neurosci 2004;19: 3171-3182.
- Bosi, E, Conti, M, Vermigli, C, et al. Effectiveness of frequencymodulated electromagnetic neural stimulation in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2005;48:817-823.

- 99. Kim, MS, Cheong, YP, So, HS, et al. Protective effects of morphine in peroxynitrite-induced apoptosis of primary rat neonatal astrocytes: potential involvement of G protein and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3 kinase). Biochem Pharmacol 2001;61:779-786.
- 100. Paix, A, Coleman, A, Lees, J, et al. Subcutaneous fentanyl and sufentanil infusion substitution for morphine intolerance in cancer pain management. Pain 1995;63:263-269.
- Scholes, CF, Gonty, N and Trotman, IF. Methadone titration in opioid-resistant cancer pain. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 1999;8:26-29.
- 102. Mao, J and Mayer, DJ. Spinal cord neuroplasticity following repeated opioid exposure and its relation to pathological pain. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2001;933:175-184.
- Tcheurekdjian, H and Gundling, K. Continuous hydromorphone infusion for opioid intolerance. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;118:282-284.
- 104. Mixides, G, Liebl, MG and Bloom, K. Enteral administration of naloxone for treatment of opioid-associated intragastric feeding intolerance. Pharmacotherapy 2004;24:291-294.
- 105. Quigley, C. Opioid switching to improve pain relief and drug tolerability. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004;CD004847.
- 106. Molcanyi, M, Riess, P, Bentz, K, et al. Trauma-associated inflammatory response impairs embryonic stem cell survival and integration after implantation into injured rat brain. J Neurotrauma 2007;24:625-637.
- 107. Sobrado-Calvo, P, Vidal-Sanz, M and Villegas-Perez, MP. Rat retinal microglial cells under normal conditions, after optic nerve section, and after optic nerve section and intravitreal injection of trophic factors or macrophage inhibitory factor. J Comp Neurol 2007;501:866-878.
- 108. Zhang, Z, Zhang, Z, Artelt, M, et al. Dexamethasone attenuates early expression of three molecules associated with microglia/macrophages activation following rat traumatic brain injury. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 2007;113:675-682.
- 109. Inoue, T, Hosokawa, M, Morigiwa, K, et al. Bcl-2 overexpression does not enhance in vivo axonal regeneration of retinal ganglion cells after peripheral nerve transplantation in adult mice. J Neurosci 2002;22:4468-4477.
- 110. Okada, M, Nakagawa, T, Minami, M, et al. Analgesic effects of intrathecal administration of P2Y nucleotide receptor agonists UTP and UDP in normal and neuropathic pain model rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2002;303:66-73.
- 111. Gilron, I, Watson, CP, Cahill, CM, et al. Neuropathic pain: a practical guide for the clinician. Cmaj. 2006;175:265-275.
- 112. Al-Waili, NS, Butler, GJ, Beale, J, et al. Influences of hyperbaric oxygen on blood pressure, heart rate and blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Arch Med Res 2006;37:991-997.
- 113. Zhang, X, Bao, L, Shi, TJ, et al. Down-regulation of muopioid receptors in rat and monkey dorsal root ganglion neurons and spinal cord after peripheral axotomy. Neuroscience 1998;82:223-240.
- 114. Freye, E and Latasch, L. [Development of opioid tolerance — molecular mechanisms and clinical consequences]. Anasthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther 2003;38:14-26.
- 115. Shen, KF and Crain, SM. Cholera toxin-B subunit blocks excitatory opioid receptor-mediated hyperalgesic effects in mice, thereby unmasking potent opioid analgesia and attenuating opioid tolerance/dependence. Brain Res 2001;919:20-30.
- 116. Ma, W, Zheng, WH, Powell, K, et al. Chronic morphine exposure increases the phosphorylation of MAP kinases and

the transcription factor CREB in dorsal root ganglion neurons: an in vitro and in vivo study. Eur J Neurosci 2001;14: 1091-1104.

- 117. Azarov, AV, Szabo, G, Czako, L, et al. Effects of calcitonin gene-related peptide on acute and chronic effects of morphine. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1995;52:595-599.
- 118. Menard, DP, van Rossum, D, Kar, S, et al. Tolerance to the antinociceptive properties of morphine in the rat spinal cord: alteration of calcitonin gene-related peptide-like immunostaining and receptor binding sites. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1995;273:887-894.
- 119. Welch, SP, Bass, PP, Olson, KG, et al. Morphine-induced modulation of calcitonin gene-related peptide levels. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1992;43:1107-1116.
- 120. Taraschenko, OD, Rubbinaccio, HY, Shulan, JM, et al. Morphine-induced changes in acetylcholine release in the interpeduncular nucleus and relationship to changes in motor behavior in rats. Neuropharmacology 2007;53:18-26.
- 121. Nabeshima, T, Sivam, SP and Ho, IK. Attenuation of pharmacological effects and increased metabolism of phencyclidine in morphine tolerant mice. Eur J Pharmacol 1984;104:211-221.
- 122. Nation, JR, Miller, DK and Bratton, GR. Dietary cadmium exposure alters characteristics of training, substitution, and tolerance when morphine is used as a discriminative stimulus. Neurotoxicology 2000;21:553-567.

Note from the Editor: On-line submission: The Puerto Rico Health Sciences Journal is ready to receive manuscripts in its journal web site http://prhsj.rcm.upr.edu

Beginning in January, 2009 all manuscripts should be submitted electronically. The Information to the Author is available at the web site.