# *Chlamydia Trachomatis* and Human Papillomavirus Serostatus in Puerto Rican Women

Maira A. Castañeda-Avila, MS\*; Erick Suárez-Pérez, PhD\*; Raúl Bernabe-Dones, PhD†; Elizabeth R. Unger, PhD‡; Gitika Panicker, PhD‡; Ana P. Ortiz, PhD\*¶

> Objective: There is a high prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection in Puerto Rico, but little is known about the prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) infection in healthy Puerto Rican women. Thus we aimed to evaluate the seroprevalence and association and the association between HPV and CT.

> Methods: This was a secondary data analysis from a cross-sectional, populationbased, study of HPV infection in women aged 16-64 years in Puerto Rico (2010-2013). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were used to detect serum antibodies to CT and HPV. Logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) for the association between HPV and CT serostatus.

> Results: The study included 524 women; mean age was 42 years. Overall, 97 (18.5%) women were CT-seropositive, 251 (47.0%) were HPV seropositive, and 57 (10.9%) had antibodies for both CT and HPV. Women who were CT-seropositive were more likely (p<0.05) to also be seropositive to any HPV type (OR<sub>adjusted</sub>: 1.7, IC 95% =1.1, 2.6), HPV 16/18 (OR<sub>adjusted</sub>: 1.6, IC 95% =1.0, 2.6) and HPV 6/11 (OR<sub>adjusted</sub>: 1.6, IC 95% =1.1, 2.6) than those CT-seropeative, after adjusting for possible confounding factors.

Conclusion: Given the association between CT and HPV seropositivity, longitudinal studies to evaluate whether CT infection influences HPV incidence and persistence in this group are warranted. [*P R Health Sci J 2020;39:28-33*]

Key words: CT, HPV, Serum, Women, Hispanics

uman Papillomaviruses (HPV) are a large group of DNA viruses that frequently infect cutaneous and mucosal sites (1). About 80% of sexually active people are infected at some point in their life with HPV (2). HPV infections, commonly acquired via sexual transmission, are associated with low and high grade intraepithelial lesions and development of cervical, vaginal, vulvar, anal, and oropharyngeal cancers (3, 4). While infection with HPV is common, most HPV infections clear within several months. Infections that persist, however, are associated with an increased risk for various neoplasias.

Identifying risk factors or cofactors that lead to HPV persistence is important not only to prevent cervical cancer but all HPV-related anogenital cancers. A limited number of studies have identified sexual behavior (5), and STDs (6, 7) as risk factors for HPV persistence. *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) is an intracellular bacterium that is also sexually transmitted. Infection with CT is one of the most frequently reported infections among American women (8). Several studies have suggested that CT may be associated with HPV persistence and the development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, thereby increasing the risk of cervical cancer (9, 10). Meanwhile, a recent meta-analysis showed that HPV and CT are strongly associated, in fact behaving as reciprocal risk factors, and suggesting that

in women diagnosed with any of these infections, screening for the other infection could represent a preventive intervention for reproductive health morbidities, including cervical cancer (11). While studies have demonstrated an association between HPV and CT among women from other populations (11–13), no study to date, has evaluated the association between HPV and CT in Puerto Rican women. Research on the relationship of these infections is of particular relevance in Puerto Rico, given the high burden of HPV infection (14, 15) and cervical cancer documented in women in this population (16), and given the lack of population-based estimates on CT infection.

The author/s has/have no conflict/s of interest to disclose.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico; †Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Río Piedras Campus, Puerto Rico; ‡Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA; ¶University of Puerto Rico Cancer Control and Population Sciences Program, University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center

Address correspondence to: Ana P. Ortiz, PhD, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences Campus, PO Box 365067, San Juan, PR 00936-5067. Email: ana.ortiz7@ upr.edu

Using data from a cross-sectional, population-based study of HPV infection in Puerto Rican women, the aims of this observational study were to: 1. Determine the seroprevalence of CT infection among young and middle-aged women from the San Juan metropolitan area; 2. Describe the sociodemographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics of the study sample according to their CT and HPV serostatus, and 3. Estimate the magnitude of association between seroprevalence of CT and HPV, after adjusting for a number of potentially confounding factors. While serology is used as an indicator of cumulative exposure, allowing us to quantify the seroprevalence of CT and HPV infections, serologic assays are not meant to diagnose these conditions, but to identify the burden of infection in the population and needs for future public health interventions (17).

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study design and setting

This study is a secondary analysis of data from a crosssectional seroprevalence study (18). In this population-based study, the sampling frame used was based on the CENSUS tracts of the San Juan metropolitan area (19). The study consisted of a personal interview, a computer-assisted self-administered interview (ACASI), anogenital biological samples, and a blood sample from each participant. Study enrollment occurred in 2010-2013, and details of this study have been previously described in other sources (18).

#### **Study population**

The study's inclusion criteria were the following: women, age 16 to 64 years old, resident of selected households, sexually active, and not pregnant nor infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) at the time of the study. Eligible women signed an informed consent form agreeing to participate in the study and gave permission to store serum samples for future tests related to the study of HPV. The current analysis included all women with HPV serology results who had not received the HPV vaccine and had sufficient stored serum for CT serology testing. A total of 566 women were originally enrolled, of which one had a problem with cervical sampling, seven were previously vaccinated against HPV, and 34 did not have satisfactory serum samples. Thus, the study population for this analysis consisted of 524 women.

#### **Detection of HPV and CT**

The primary outcome variables examined were HPV serostatus to HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18, the types targeted by both the 4-valent HPV and 9-valent HPV vaccines (Gardasil and Gardasil 9, Merck and Co., Inc.). A multiplex ELISA (M4ELISA) was used as previously described to titer typespecific IgG antibodies to HPV L1 virus-like particles (VLPs). (20) Cut-off values for positive results were based on previously described findings.(21) Type-specific (positive or negative) and grouped outcomes were used. Results for seropositivity were categorized as: 1) any HPV (defined as: positive to HPV 6, 11, 16 or 18), 2) HPV 16/18 (defined as: positive to HPV 16 or 18) and 3), and HPV 6/11 (defined as: positive to HPV 6 or 11). The main independent variable in this study was CT serostatus, categorized as either positive or negative. The *Chlamydia trachomatis* IgG ELISA (Mybiosource, San Diego,CA) was used following the manufacturer's protocol and cut-off value for CT seropositivity.

#### **Covariates**

The covariates evaluated were study participant's age, education ( $\leq 12$  and > 12 years), marital status (single, married and divorced), medical insurance (no health insurance, Medicare or government health plan and private insurance), current family income (<\$20,000 and  $\geq$  \$20,000), employment status, use of cigarettes (never, previously or currently), use of alcohol (never, previously or currently), history of anal, oral, or vaginal sex, use of condoms (ever or never), number of pregnancies ( $\leq 2$  and > 2), lifetime use of non-injected illicit drugs (ever or never), lifetime number of sexual partners, age of sexual initiation (>15 and  $\leq$  15 years), and use of sex for drugs and money (ever or never).

#### **Statistical analysis**

An initial epidemiological profile of the study group was performed using descriptive statistics and frequency distributions. To describe the association between HPV and CT, contingency tables were used. The significance analysis of these associations was performed with the chi-square test of homogeneity. To assess the strength of this association, the crude odds ratio (OR<sub>crude</sub>) was estimated with 95% confidence intervals. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to estimate multivariable adjusted ORs (OR<sub>adjusted</sub>) for HPV positivity with adjustment for covariates. Lifestyle and demographic variables were included in the multivariable model based on either a statistical relationship with CT, or if they had shown in the literature to be of importance for the associations of interest. To identify the potential confounders, we entered the covariates into the model and examined the extent to which the inclusion of the covariates changed the odds ratios (ORs). The final set of variables for statistical adjustment were selected using a combination of clinical judgment, and changes in the effect estimate >10%. Once the confounding variables were defined, an assessment of the first order interaction terms was conducted using the likelihood ratio test.

# Results

#### Participant's characteristics

The participants' mean age was 42.4 years  $\pm$  13.3 SD. Overall, 65.3% had an academic degree higher than high school, 52.3% were married or living together, 46.2% had Medicare or government health plan, 58.8% had less than \$20,000 per year of family income and 58.6% studied or worked. Fifty-six percent of women had more than 2 pregnancies, 17.8% were current smokers and 41.0% had history of lifetime non-injected drug use. The mean number of sexual partners per year was 0.51±1.34 SD, 50.8% of women had one sexual partner on average every five years and 42.7% had more than four lifetime sexual partners. More than two-thirds (73.1%) of women had an age of sexual debut higher than 15 years old; 69.9% had ever engaged in anal sex and 94.9% had ever engaged in oral sex. Regarding other sexual practices, 25.2% of women never used condoms and 2.1% had ever practiced sex for drugs and/or money (Table 1).

The characteristics of the study population according to CT serostatus are also presented in Table 1. Based on the simple logistic models, current use of tobacco, history of non-injected drug use, increased number of sexual partners, younger age of sexual debut, anal sex history and history of condom use were all positively associated with CT seropositivity (P<0.05).

#### **HPV and CT seroprevalence**

Seropositivity to CT was 18.5%, while seropositivity to any of the four HPV types was 47.0% (31.7% for HPV 16/18 and 35.1% for HPV 6/11). Approximately 11% of women were seropositive for both CT and any HPV, 7.8% were seropositive to both CT and HPV 16/18, and 8.4 % were seropositive to both CT and HPV 6/11 (Figure 1). The seroprevalence of HPV 16/18, HPV 6/11, and any HPV was higher in women seropositive to CT than among those seronegative to CT (p-values<0.05, Figure 2).

Association between seroprevalence of HPV and CT

The results of our multivariate logistic regression model, which adjusted for age, average number of sexual partners and history of anal sex, suggest that CT-seropositive women were approximately 1.7 times more likely to be seropositive for any HPV type evaluated as compared with CT-seronegative women (OR:1.7, 95% CI= 1.1-2.6) (Table 2). Similar results were observed for HPV 16/18 (OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.0-2.6, P < 0.05) and HPV 6/11 (OR:1.7, 95% CI=1.1-2.6). When analyzed individually, the associations were only significant for HPV 11 (OR:2.8, 95% CI= 1.7-4.7), and approached statistical significance for HPV 16 (OR:1.6, 95% CI=1.0-2.6, 0.05<p<0.10), after adjusting for potential confounders (data not shown).

**Table 1**. Demographic and lifestyle factors associated with CT serostatus among women aged 16-64 living in the San Juan metropolitan area of Puerto Rico. (n=524)

|                            | Study population<br>n (colum %) | Seropositive CT<br>n [row %] | OR crude                        |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Age                        |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| $\leq 42$ years            | 254 (48.5)                      | 55 [21.7]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| >42 years                  | 270 (51.5)                      | 42 [15.6]                    | 0.7 (0.4-1.0)**                 |  |  |  |
| Education                  | - ( )                           |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| ≤ 12 years                 | 182 (37.7)                      | 52 [20.7]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| > 12 years                 | 342 (65.3)                      | 45 [16.5]                    | 0.5 (0.3-0.9)*                  |  |  |  |
| Marital status             |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| Single                     | 119 (22.7)                      | 27 [22.7]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Married                    | 274 (52.3)                      | 42 [15.3]                    | 0.6 (0.4-1.1)**                 |  |  |  |
| Divorced                   | 131 (25.0)                      | 28 [21.4]                    | 0.9 (0.5-1.7)                   |  |  |  |
| Health insurance           |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| No health insurance        | 51 (9.7)                        | 10 [19.6]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Medicare, government       |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| health plan                | 242 (46.2)                      | 53 [21.9]                    | 1.2 (0.5-2.4)                   |  |  |  |
| Private insurance          | 231 (44.1)                      | 34 [14.7]                    | 0.7 (0.3-1.5)                   |  |  |  |
| Family income <sup>a</sup> |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000                  | 281 (58.8)                      | 56 [19.9]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| ≥\$20,000                  | 197 (41.2)                      | 28 [14.9]                    | 1.5 (0.9-2.5)                   |  |  |  |
| Employment status          |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| Work or study              | 307 (58.6)                      | 61 [19.9]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Unemployed                 | 165 (31.5)                      | 31 [18.8]                    | 0.9 (0.6-1.5)                   |  |  |  |
| Others                     | 52 (9.9)                        | 5 [9.6]                      |                                 |  |  |  |
| Pregnancies                | 202 (44.2)                      | 10 [10 00/]                  |                                 |  |  |  |
| ≤2 pregnancies             | 202 (44.2)                      | 40 [19.8%]                   | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| >2 pregnancies             | 255 (55.8)                      | 56 [22.0]                    | 1.6 (1.0-2.5)**                 |  |  |  |
| Smoking history            | 240 (47 2)                      |                              | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Never                      | 248 (47.3)                      | 39 [15.7]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Previously                 | 183 (34.9)                      | 33 [18.0]                    | 1.2 (0.7-2.0)<br>2.0 (1.1.2 F)* |  |  |  |
| Alcohol uso history        | 93 (17.8)                       | 25 [20.9]                    | 2.0 (1.1-3.5)                   |  |  |  |
| Novor                      | 64 (12 2)                       | 9 [12 5]                     | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Broviously                 | 122(25 A)                       | 21 [22 2]                    | 1.0<br>2 1 (0 0 1 0)**          |  |  |  |
| Currently                  | 327 (62 4)                      | 51 [25.5]<br>58 [17 7]       | 2.1 (0.3-4.3)                   |  |  |  |
| Lifetime non-injected      | 527 (02.4)                      | 50[17.7]                     | 1.5 (0.7 5.5)                   |  |  |  |
|                            |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| No                         | 308 (59 0)                      | 44 [14 3]                    | 10                              |  |  |  |
| Yes                        | 214 (41.0)                      | 52 [24.3]                    | 1.9 (1.2-3.0)*                  |  |  |  |
| Lifetime number of sexual  | ()()                            | []                           |                                 |  |  |  |
| partners                   |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| ≤4 partners                | 300(57.3)                       | 42 [14.0]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| >4 partners                | 224 (42.7)                      | 55 [24.6]                    | 2.0 (1.3-3.1)*                  |  |  |  |
| Age of sexual debut        |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| >15 years                  | 383 (73.1)                      | 55 [14.4]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| ≤15 years                  | 141 (26.9)                      | 42 [29.8]                    | 2.5 (1.6-4.0)*                  |  |  |  |
| Anal sex history           |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| No                         | 158 (30.1)                      | 20 [12.7]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Yes                        | 366(69.9)                       | 77 [21.0]                    | 1.8 (1.1-3.1)*                  |  |  |  |
| Oral sex history           |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| No                         | 27 (5.1)                        | 6 [22.2]                     | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Yes                        | 497 (94.9)                      | 91 [18.3]                    | 0.8 (0.3-2.0)                   |  |  |  |
| Condom use                 |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| Never                      | 132 (25.2)                      | 15 [11.4]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Ever                       | 392 (74.8)                      | 82 [20.9]                    | 2.1 (1.1-3.7)*                  |  |  |  |
| Sex for drugs and/         |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| or money <sup>d</sup>      |                                 |                              |                                 |  |  |  |
| Never                      | 511 (97.9)                      | 92 [18.0]                    | 1.0                             |  |  |  |
| Ever                       | 11 (2.1)                        | 4 [36.4]                     | 2.6 (0.7-9.1)                   |  |  |  |

\*P <0.05, \*\* 0.05<P<0.1, Missing data; a: n= 478; b: n= 522; c: n= 522; d: n= 522.







Figure 2. HPV seroprevalence among women living in the San Juan metropolitan area of Puerto Rico, according to CT antibody status (n=524).

# Discussion

This is the first study to report the seroprevalence of CT in young and middle-aged Puerto Rican women. Approximately 1 in every 5 young and middle-aged women who resided in the metropolitan area of San Juan, Puerto Rico had serum antibodies showed a decline of 18% over a period of four to seven years (29). Nonetheless, seropositivity for CT remains elevated for years and is influenced by antibiotic treatment and lack of subsequent re-infection (29).

to CT. Our findings also suggest an association between CT and HPV seropositivity (any HPV, HPV 16/18 and HPV 6/11).

# **HPV and CT seroprevalence**

The frequency of CT seroprevalence found in this study is consistent with European studies that have reported CT seroprevalence rates of 10% Table 2. Association between CT and HPV serology among women aged 16-64 living in the San Juan metropolitan area of Puerto Rico. (n=524)

|                                       | Any HPV                |                       | HPV 16/18              |                       | HPV 6/11               |                        |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                                       | OR Crude               | OR Adjusted           | OR Crude               | OR Adjusted           | OR Crude               | OR Adjusted            |
| CT serostatus<br>Positive<br>Negative | 1.8 (1.2-2.8)*<br>1.00 | 1.7(1.1-2.6)*<br>1.00 | 1.8 (1.1-2.8)*<br>1.00 | 1.6 (1.0-2.6)<br>1.00 | 1.7 (1.1-2.6)*<br>1.00 | 1.7 (1.1-2.6)*<br>1.00 |

+Adjusted for age, lifetime number of sexual partners, and anal sex. () 95% Confidence interval. \*P <0.05

(22) to 28% (23) in women aged 30 to 60 years. The seroprevalence of CT among healthy fertile women ranged between 17% and 19% in Rwanda and East Africa (24). We found that a greater proportion of women were seropositive to at least one of the four HPV types evaluated than to CT (47.0% versus 18.5%) and 10.9% were seropositive to both HPV and CT. Similar studies in the general population are limited. The CT seroprevalence of healthy control Taiwanese ages 30 to 64 years, in a nested case-control study of in situ or invasive cervical cancer, was 28.7% and HPV seroprevalence for HPV 6, 16, and 18 was 31.0%, 8.1%, and 14.8%, respectively (25). Similarly, the CT seroprevalence rates ranged from 8%-12% for healthy European women aged 35-75 years serving as controls in a nested case-control study of cervical cancer (23).

There is general agreement, based on serology and DNA detection, that CT is less prevalent than HPV. However, serology underestimates exposure to both CT and HPV, as not all individuals seroconvert. (26, 27) Infection with CT stimulates the synthesis of IgG antibodies, which are typically observed between 5-20 days of infection, and remain elevated for several weeks and then gradually decrease (26). It is estimated that approximately 70% of women seroconvert after having had a CT infection (28). In a previous study of CT seropositive women, seropositivity Association between seroprevalence of HPV and CT

Since CT and HPV share common risk factors for transmission, including sexual practices, it was not surprising that we found an association between seropositivity for CT and any HPV, in agreement with prior studies (13). A nested case-control study showed that CT serology was associated with a two-fold higher risk of cervical cancer only in HPV 16 seropositive women (6). The same study did not observe an association between CT serology and HPV 18 serostatus (6), consistent with our finding that CT serology was more closely associated with HPV 16 and was not associated with HPV 18 serology. A longitudinal study in Sweden found that women with a self-reported history of CT infection had a higher risk of having persistent cervical HPV-DNA compared to women without previous CT infection (30). A cross-sectional study of women from one private medical unit in southern Brazil found an association between HPV and CT with intraepithelial alterations in cervical samples, and indication that both are linked with early cervical carcinogenesis (31). Furthermore, a recent study found a strong association with past CT infection, indicated by serology, with the progression of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 at 24 months of follow-up (32). In men, CT and HPV anogenital infection have also been associated (33, 34). A recent systematic review investigating the epidemiological data on the association of CT and CT-HPV co-infection on cervical cancer concluded that CT can be an independent predictor for cervical cancer risk, and that the prevalence of CT infection in cervical cancer patients varies according to the geographical area, detection method, serotype, and sampling number. The systematic review also indicated that the prevalence of CT infection was significantly higher among HPV-positive women compared with HPV-negative women. While this may be explained by shared risk factors for CT and HPV infections, it was also hypothesized that CT could indirectly impact cervical lesions by increasing the risk for HPV infection and persistence (35).

#### **Study strengths and limitations**

Our study had several strengths, among them, we evaluated serum antibodies for both CT and HPV. The literature has shown that the detection of antibodies in serum is usually better than DNA to assess cumulative exposure or exposure that may have occurred in prior years (36). Our study sample size was relatively large (n = 524) and was population-based. In addition, questionnaires on sociodemographic characteristics and sexual behavior allowed us to adequately assess and control for potential confounders. However, the study has several limitations that need be kept in mind in the interpretation of the study results. The study is representative only of women from the metropolitan area of San Juan, Puerto Rico, and sample size may have limited the power of HPV type specific analyses. Given the nature of our cross-sectional study design, we cannot make conclusions about temporality. In addition, since not all women seroconvert after CT and HPV infection, our results may be affected by information bias. Furthermore, some residual

confounding may still be present in this study, since both CT and HPV are associated with sexual practices. Finally, our study evaluated the association of HPV and CT through serology, and indicator of cumulative exposure, and not of acute or active genital infection.

## Conclusions

The results of this population-based sample of women aged 16-64 years in Puerto Rico demonstrate that there is an association between CT and HPV antibodies (any, 16/18 and 6/11), with type-specific significant associations for HPV type 11, followed by HPV 16. Prospective studies need to be performed to clarify the role of CT as a cofactor in the natural history of HPV, specifically in the acquisition and/or persistence of infection. These studies should consider not only serostatus of infections, but also the incidence and prevalence of acute and active genital infections over time. This would permit an evaluation of the viral clearance process, persistence and reinfection. Serotyping the CT infections should also be considered. Our study supports the notion that CT seropositivity is an indicator of higher risk behaviors that increase exposure to HPV. Finally, the results of our study suggest the importance of planning and evaluation of practices for the control of these infections. It supports the importance of increased use of the HPV vaccine as a prevention mechanism, and the need for cervical cancer and CT screening in this high-risk population.

## Resumen

Objetivos: Hay una alta prevalencia de infección con el virus del papiloma humano (VPH) en Puerto Rico, pero muy poco se sabe sobre la prevalencia de infección con Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) en mujeres puertorriqueñas saludables. Por lo tanto, nuestro objetivo es evaluar la seroprevalencia y la asociación entre la de VPH y CT. Métodos: Este fue un análisis de datos secundarios de un estudio transversal, basado en población, de VPH en mujeres de Puerto Rico (2010-2013). El ensayo immunoabsorbente ligado a enzimas (ELISA, por sus siglas en inglés) fue usado para detectar anticuerpos de CT y VPH. Modelos de regresión logística fueron usados para estimar los odds ratio (OR) para la asociación del estado serológico entre VPH y CT. Resultados: El estudio incluyó 524 mujeres, la media de edad fue de 42 años. En total, 97 (18.5%) mujeres eran CT seropositivas, 251 (47.0%) eran mujeres VPH seropositivas, y 57 (10.9%) tenían anticuerpos para ambos CT y VPH. Las mujeres que eran CT-seropositivas fueron más dadas a (p<0.05)también ser seropositivas a cualquier tipo de VPH (OR<sub>adjustado</sub>: 1.7, IC 95% =1.1, 2.6), VPH 16/18 (ORadjustado: 1.6, IC 95% =1.0, 2.6) y VHP 6/11 (OR<sub>adjustado</sub>: 1.6, IC 95% =1.1, 2.6) que aquellas CT-seronegativas, después de ajustar por posibles factores de confusión. Conclusión: Dada la asociación entre la seropositividad entre CT y HPV, estudios longitudinales que evalúen si la infección de CT influencia la incidencia y persistencia de HPV en este grupo son necesarios.

# **Acknowledgments**

This project was funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Grant (NIAID Grant #: 1SC2AI090922-01) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Also, the work described was partially supported by Award Number U54 RR026139, from the National Center for Research Resources, and the Award Number 8U54MD 007587 from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities of the NIH. HPV serology testing was contributed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the CT serology testing was partially funded by the University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or of the other funding agencies.

# References

- Bernard HU, Burk RD, Chen Z, van Doorslaer K, zur Hausen H, de Villiers EM. Classification of papillomaviruses (PVs) based on 189 PV types and proposal of taxonomic amendments. Virology 2010;401:70–79.
- Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, et al. Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. [Serial online] Available from:Url: https://gco.iarc.fr/today Accessed February 15, 2018.
- Stewart BW & Wild CP. World Cancer Report 2014; France; International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014.
- 4. Bouvard V, Baan R, Straif K, et al. A review of human carcinogens--Part B: biological agents. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:321–322.
- Shew ML, Ermel AC, Weaver BA, et al. Association of chlamydia trachomatis infection with redetection of human papillomavirus after apparent clearance. J Infect Dis 2013;208:1416–1421.
- Dahlström LA, Andersson K, Luostarinen T, et al. Prospective seroepidemiologic study of human papillomavirus and other risk factors in cervical cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011;20:2541–2550.
- Shew ML, Fortenberry JD, Tu W, et al. Association of condom use, sexual behaviors, and sexually transmitted infections with the duration of genital human papillomavirus infection among adolescent women. Arch Pediatr Adolesc 2006;160:151–156.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2018. Atlanta; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019.
- Deluca DG, Basiletti J, Schelover E, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis as a probable cofactor in human papillomavirus infection in aboriginal women from northeastern Argentina. Brazilian J Infect Dis 2011;15:567–572.
- Bhatla N, Puri K, Joseph E, Kriplani a Iyer VK, Sreenivas V. Association of Chlamydia trachomatis infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) & cervical intraepithelial neoplasia - A pilot study. Indian J Med Res Suppl 2013;137:533–539.
- Naldini G, Grisci C, Chiavarini M, Fabiani R. Association between human papillomavirus and chlamydia trachomatis infection risk in women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Public Health 2019;64:943-955.
- Castillejos-García I, Ramírez-Amador VA, Carrillo-García A, García-Carrancá A, Lizano M, Anaya-Saavedra G. Type-specific persistence and clearance rates of HPV genotypes in the oral and oropharyngeal mucosa in an HIV/AIDS cohort. J Oral Pathol Med 2018;47:396–402.
- 13. Bolhassani A. HPV Infections: Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment; Iran; Bentham Science Publishers, 2018.
- Ortiz AP, Tortolero-Luna G, Romaguera J, et al. Seroprevalence of HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18 and correlates of exposure in unvaccinated women aged 16-64 years in Puerto Rico. Papillomavirus Res 2018;5:109-113.
- Ortiz AP, Romaguera J, Pérez CM, et al. Prevalence, genotyping, and correlates of anogenital HPV infection in a population-based sample of women in Puerto Rico. Papillomavirus Res 2016;2:89-96.

- Viens LJ, Henley SJ, Watson M, et al. Human Papillomavirus-Associated Cancers - United States, 2008-2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2016;65:661-666.
- Ortiz, A. P. et al. Methods in HPV Surveillance: Experiences from a Population-Based Study of HPV Infection among Women in the San Juan Metropolitan Area of Puerto Rico. P. R. Health Sci J 2015;34:117–127.
- Woodhall SC, Gorwitz RJ, Migchelsen SJ, et al. Advancing the public health applications of Chlamydia trachomatis serology. Lancet Infect Dis 2018;18:e399-e407.
- Ortiz AP, Marrero E, Muñoz C, et al. Feasibility of collecting biologic specimens in population-based surveys: experiences from the epidemiology of hepatitis C in the household, adult population of Puerto Rico study. P R Health Sci J 2010;29:18–25.
- Panicker G, Rajbhandari I, Gurbaxani BM, Querec TD, Unger ER. Development and evaluation of multiplexed immunoassay for detection of antibodies to HPV vaccine types. J Immunol Methods 2015;417:107–114.
- Russell K, Dunne EF, Kemper AR, et al. Antibody responses among adolescent females receiving the quadrivalent HPV vaccine series corresponding to standard or non-standard dosing intervals. Vaccine 2015;33:1953–1958.
- 22. van Aar F, Mooij SH, van der Sande MAB, et al. Twelve-month incidence and clearance of oral HPV infection in HIV-negative and HIV-infected men who have sex with men: the H2M cohort study. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:668.
- Castellsagué X, Pawlita M, Roura E, et al. Prospective seroepidemiologic study on the role of Human Papillomavirus and other infections in cervical carcinogenesis: Evidence from the EPIC cohort. Int J Cancer 2014; 135:440–452.
- Muvunyi CM, Dhont N, Verhelst R, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis infection in fertile and subfertile women in Rwanda: prevalence and diagnostic significance of IgG and IgA antibodies testing. Hum Reprod 2011;26:3319–3326.
- Naucler P, Chen HC, Persson K, et al. Seroprevalence of human papillomaviruses and Chlamydia trachomatis and cervical cancer risk: nested case-control study. J Gen Virol 2007;88:814–822.
- Łój B, Brodowska A, Ciecwiez S, et al. The role of serological testing for Chlamydia trachomatis in differential diagnosis of pelvic pain. Ann Agric Environ Med 2016;23:506–510.
- Carter JJ, Koutsky LA, Hughes JP, et al. Comparison of Human Papillomavirus Types 16, 18, and 6 Capsid Antibody Responses Following Incident Infection. J Infect Dis 2000;181:1911–1919.
- Stephens AJ, Aubuchon M, Schust DJ. Antichlamydial antibodies, human fertility, and pregnancy wastage. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2011;2011:525182.
- Gijsen AP, Land JA, Goossens VJ, Slobbe MEP, Bruggeman CA. Chlamydia antibody testing in screening for tubal factor subfertility: the significance of IgG antibody decline over time. Hum Reprod 2001;17:699–703.
- Silins I, Ryd W, Strand A, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis infection and persistence of human papillomavirus. Int J Cancer 2005;116:110–115.
- Wohlmeister D, Vianna DRB, Helfer VE, et al. Association of human papillomavirus and Chlamydia trachomatis with intraepithelial alterations in cervix samples. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2016;111:106-113.
- 32. Miralpeix E, Solé-Sedeño J, Agramunt S, et al. Role of Chlamydia trachomatis serology in conservative management of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 . Int J Gynecol Obstet 2019;147:43-48.
- 33. Alberts CJ, Schim van der Loeff MF, Papenfuss MR, et al. Association of Chlamydia trachomatis infection and herpes simplex virus type 2 serostatus with genital human papillomavirus infection in men: the HPV in men study. Sex Transm Dis 2013;40:508–515.
- Quinn R, Salvatierra J, Solari V, Calderon M, Ton TGN, Zunt JR. Human papillomavirus infection in men who have sex with men in Lima, Peru. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2012;28:1734–1738.
- Karim S, Souho T, Benlemlih M, Bennani B. Cervical Cancer Induction Enhancement Potential of Chlamydia Trachomatis: A Systematic Review. Curr Microbiol 2018;75:1667-1674.
- Golijow CD, Abba MC, Mourón Sa, Laguens RM, Dulout FN, Smith JS. Chlamydia trachomatis and Human papillomavirus infections in cervical disease in Argentine women. Gynecol Oncol 2005;96:181–186.