

Prospects for Improving the Extent of Recovery following Peripheral Nerve Trauma: A Review

Christian Foy, MD*; Gerardo Olivella, MD*; Damien P. Kuffler, PhD†

Restoring function to damaged peripheral nerves with a gap remains challenging, with <50% of patients who undergo nerve repair surgery recovering function. Further, despite enormous efforts to improve existing techniques and develop new ones, the percentage of patients who recover function and their extent of recovery has not increased in almost 70 years. Thus, although sensory nerve grafts remain the clinical “gold standard” technique for attempting to restore function to nerves with a gap, they have significant limitations. They are effective in restoring good to excellent function only for gaps <3-5 cm, repairs performed <3-5 months post-trauma, and patients <20-25 years old. As the value of any of these variables increases, the extent of recovery decreases precipitously, and if the values of two or all three variables increase, there is little to no recovery. Therefore, novel techniques are required that increase the percentage of patients who recover function and the extent of their recovery. This review discusses the limitations of sensory nerve grafts and other techniques currently being used to repair nerves. It also discusses the use of autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP), which appears to be the most promising technique for inducing sensory and motor recovery even when the values of all three variables are significantly greater than when sensory nerve grafts alone are not effective. Thus, there is finally the promise that patients who presently have limited to no chance of any recovery may recover good to excellent sensory and motor function. [*P R Health Sci J* 2022;41(2):89-95]

Key words: Nerve regeneration, Nerve trauma, Peripheral nerve repair, Platelet-rich fibrin, Platelet-rich plasma, Restoration of function

Sensory nerve autografts are the clinical “gold standard” for bridging nerve gaps in attempts to restore function (1). However, the extent of neurological recovery they induce is negatively influenced by increasing gap length, delay between nerve injury and repair, and patient age (2). Thus, sensory nerve grafts reliably induce good to excellent recovery only across relatively short nerve gaps (<3-5 cm) (1), when repairs are performed relatively soon after nerve trauma (<3-5 months) (3), patients are relatively young (<20-25 years old) (4), and it requires sacrificing a sensory nerve function. As the values of any of these variables (gap length (5), delay (6), and patient age (4)) increase, the extent of recovery decreases precipitously. Further, as the values of any two or all three variables increase, there is generally limited to no recovery (7). Consequently, most patients are not offered nerve repair surgery, and of those who are, less than 50% recover any sensory or motor function (7). This rate has not improved in almost 70 years (8). Therefore, new techniques are required that induce more extensive recoveries in a larger percentage of patients under all conditions.

This review examines the factors that underlie the limitations of sensory nerve grafts in promoting axon regeneration. It also examines techniques presently being applied clinically to overcome each of these limitations. It next examines studies

showing that the application of autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) enhances the extent of axon regeneration. It then discusses two novel nerve gap repair techniques showing that a unique application of PRP to nerve gaps induces axon regeneration and good to excellent recovery even when the values of two or all three variables that limit recovery were simultaneously large, conditions where no other existing techniques are effective. Finally, it discusses the potential mechanisms by which PRP acts. It concludes by showing that function can be restored without sacrificing a sensory nerve function.

The following section addresses how each of the limitations mentioned above can be minimized, leading to improved axon regeneration and functional recovery.

I. Limitations to axon regeneration and functional recovery

One factor underlying the decreasing extent of recovery with increasing delay between nerve trauma and repair time is that

*Section of Orthopedic Surgery, †Institute of Neurobiology, University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Address correspondence to: Damien Kuffler, PhD, Institute of Neurobiology, 201 Blvd. del Valle, San Juan, PR 00901. Email: dkuffler@hotmail.com

axotomized neurons lose their capacity to extend axons (9). This is, in part, considered to result from neurons downregulating their expression of neuregulin 1 (10). There is no method for clinically up-regulating the expression of neuregulin-1 to enhance axon regeneration. However, clinically, the capacity of axotomized neurons to regenerate is restored by applying as little as one hour of electrical stimulation (11). Electrical stimulation promotes axon regeneration by increasing neuronal cAMP levels (12), causing the upregulation of BDNF, and its trkB receptor, RAGs, GAP-43, cytoskeletal proteins actin, tubulin (13, 14), and neuregulin 1 (15), while increasing local blood flow (16), induces IGF-1 synthesis (17), macrophage recruitment and activation (18, 19). An additional technique for increasing the capacity of axotomized neurons to regenerate is by applying as few as one neurotrophic factor (20), although the speed of axon regeneration is increased when multiple neurotrophic factors act together. (21).

Also limiting the extent of axon regeneration across long nerve gaps bridged with a sensory nerve graft and when nerve repairs are performed with a long delay between nerve trauma and nerve repair is Schwann cell changes. Thus, when Schwann cells lose contact with an axon, they become senescent and stop releasing neurotrophic factors which are required to promote axon regeneration (5). Similar to how the application of neurotrophic factors to axotomized neurons induces them to regenerate, those same factors reverse Schwann cell senescence and promote their up-regulation of the synthesis and release of neurotrophic factors (20). Schwann cell senescence can be reversed by a single brief period of electrical stimulation (22).

Clinically, a graft must be vascularized for axons to regenerate across gaps of >6 cm (23). However, because the vascularization of long nerve grafts is slow, by the time the regenerating axons reach the distal part of the graft, it is not vascularized and cannot support axon regeneration (6). The lack of vascularization causes the grafts to develop a necrotic environment, which inhibits axon regeneration. However, in animal models, the extent of graft vascularization, axon regeneration, and functional recovery across long nerve grafts are all significantly increased by the use of vascularized nerve grafts (24). Further, it is increased by applying vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to nerve grafts, when cells within a nerve graft are induced to overexpress VEGF (25) or when VEGF is added to acellular or laminin-gel grafts (26).

Another variable contributing to the decreased capacity of axons to regenerate across nerve gaps is increasing patient's age. This is because increasing age is associated with decreased nerve injury-induced angiogenesis (27). This results in nerve grafts failing to become vascularized (28). However, in aged rats (29) and clinically (23), graft vascularization can be induced by administering VEGF, leading to extensive axon regeneration (30).

The following section examines techniques other than sensory nerve grafts currently used in animal models and clinically to restore function across nerve gaps.

II. Alternative techniques for promoting axon regeneration across nerve gaps

Allografts

A significant limitation of using sensory nerve grafts to promote functional recovery is that their use requires creating a permanent sensory deficit. This drawback led to the testing of acellular allografts (donor lengths of nerve after removing all cells and antigenicity) to avoid the need to sacrifice a sensory nerve function. Although allografts are FDA-approved and used clinically, but far less frequently than sensory nerve grafts. This is because their efficacy decreases with increasing gap length (31), being effective only for gaps ≤ 7 cm in length (32). In addition, they often fail to promote axon regeneration across gaps of <2 cm in length (personal clinical observations), and they are not effective if the values of two or all three variables are simultaneously large. Therefore, allografts are not recommended or FDA-approved for use in bridging "long" nerve gaps, which are considered >3 cm (5).

The limitation of acellular allografts in promoting axon regeneration and functional recovery across long nerve gaps can be reduced by: (1) by infusing them with neurotrophic factors (33), (2) autologous Schwann cells (34), and other cell types (35), or (3) filling them with autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) (36). A drawback in using PRP is its lack of FDA approval for this clinical use, although PRP can be used off-label. Despite these approaches improving the efficacy of allografts in promoting axon regeneration across short nerve gaps, these techniques remain less effective than autografts for long gaps (37).

Conduits

An alternative approach for bridging nerve gaps in animal models and clinically is the use of conduits composed of various materials, including fibrin (38), decellularized human umbilical artery (39), muscles (40), veins (41), and FDA-approved collagen tubes (42). Their efficacy in promoting axon regeneration is increased by filling them with muscle (43), minced peripheral nerve (44), or neurotrophic and other factors (45). However, although empty conduits can be used clinically, most of the materials used to fill them to enhance axon regeneration cannot be used clinically. Thus, clinically, empty conduits are generally not used except for what is referred to as non-critical sensory nerve repairs when the gaps are <2 cm (46).

The following section discusses evidence showing that the application of PRP enhances the extent of axon regeneration.

III. PRP

Platelets evolved as a source of over 300 different compounds (47). Their factors serve a multiplicity of functions, including promoting axon regeneration (48), hemostasis (49), wound healing (50), vascularization (51), acting as an anti-bacterial agent (52), and reducing inflammatory disorders such as sepsis and other infections (53).

Due to the host of factors contained in and released by platelets, PRP has been tested in various animal models and clinically for its efficacy in promoting axon regeneration. PRP enhances the extent of axon regeneration when clinically injected onto (54) or into nerves (55). In animal models, the extent of axon regeneration is increased by applying PRP to the site of a nerve crush (56), nerve stump anastomosis site (57), and by filling autografts (58), acellular nerve graft (36), and conduits (59) with PRP. Thus, extensive evidence shows that PRP is effective in promoting axon regeneration.

Although the application of PRP improves the extent of axon regeneration, there have been few studies examining whether it can enhance the capacity of sensory nerve grafts to promote axon regeneration or can satisfactorily induce good axon regeneration across nerve gaps without a sensory nerve graft. The following section examines two novel techniques testing this capacity of PRP.

IV. Novel PRP technique promoting extensive axon regeneration and recovery

Recent work shows that, clinically, two techniques involving unique applications of PRP are the most effective techniques for restoring function to nerves with gaps. The first involves bridging a nerve gap with a sensory nerve graft within a PRP-filled collagen tube (60). This induced excellent axon regeneration and recovery across one 9 cm and two 11 cm gaps, even when the repair was performed 2.7 years post-trauma in a and 51 years old patient (60). The second technique involved bridging a gap with only a PRP-filled collagen tube (61). This technique was effective despite the repair of a 12 cm long gap, 3.25 years post nerve trauma in a 48-year-old patient (61). Thus, recovery was restored even though the values of all three variables that typically restrict or prevent axon regeneration and recovery were singly and simultaneously large.

These studies show that platelets contain all the factors required to induce significant axon regeneration and recovery across nerve gaps even when the values of two or all three variables are simultaneously larger than when sensory nerve grafts alone are effective. Further, the recovery when using only a PRP-filled collagen tube shows that significant axon regeneration and functional recovery develop without the need to sacrifice a sensory nerve graft. Further studies are required to determine which techniques induce the most reliable and effective recovery.

It is hypothesized that, as indicated earlier in this review, PRP enhances the extent of axon regeneration is releasing neurotrophic and other factors that act directly on the axotomized neurons. Simultaneously, the same or other factors act on the senescent Schwann cells of the nerve graft and distal portion of the nerve to reactivate them, leading to their synthesis and release of regeneration-promoting neurotrophic and other factors (62).

The following section examines platelet-released factors that may participate in inducing extensive axon regeneration and their mechanisms of action.

V. Potential mechanisms of PRP promoting axon regeneration and recovery

Platelet-released VEGF may play a significant role in allowing axons to regenerate across all gaps, but especially for long nerve gaps, gaps in older patients, and long gaps in older patients. VEGF can act by inducing vascularization of the PRP/graft or the PRP alone within a gap (23, 63). However, because platelets release both VEGF and IGF-1, the combination may promote more extensive angiogenesis, axon regeneration, and functional recovery than is exerted by either alone (64).

Other potential roles VEGF can play to promote axon regeneration include being a chemoattractant for macrophages (65). This serves two purposes, first recruiting macrophages that can phagocytose the axon and myelin debris in the nerve graft, which, if not removed, inhibits axon regeneration (66, 67). Second, recruited macrophages release VEGF (65, 68), which induces further vascularization (65, 67, 69). The macrophage-released VEGF induces the development of new blood vessels, which are critical for vascularization and direct Schwann cell migration into the nerve gap site (65). VEGF also acts as a Schwann cell chemoattractant while stimulating their proliferation (5). Without Schwann cell recruitment, there are no Schwann cell-released regeneration-promoting neurotrophic factors (5, 70). Finally, VEGF acts as a neuroprotective agent by inducing neuron upregulation of NGF and GDNF, which further enhances axon regeneration (64).

Platelet releases many other factors that may also enhance axon regeneration. PDGF induces axon outgrowth across nerve gaps bridged with conduits (71). It is also a potent mitogen for Schwann cells (72, 73), which induces them to up-regulate their synthesis and release of PDGF (74), while promoting the synthesis of the extracellular matrix (75). PDGF also induces angiogenesis (76, 77), which, as just discussed, is essential for the invasion of Schwann cells to the nerve injury site and their action in inducing graft vascularization, which creates a cellular environment that supports axon regeneration.

Platelet-released IGF-1 applied to nerve crush sites induces axon regeneration (78) while promoting Schwann cell motility proliferation and differentiation (73), promotes actin cytoskeletal remodeling (79), induces angiogenesis (78), promote the synthesis of the extracellular matrix (75), and stimulates neuron protein synthesis (80). Finally, IGF-1 enhances axon regeneration in aged animals (81).

Platelet-released IL-10 promotes axon regeneration by creating an anti-inflammatory environment, partly by inducing macrophages to transition to their M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype (82-84). This results in suppressing macrophage release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 (85, 86), while inducing their release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 (84, 87, 88).

Platelet-released TNF- α induces NGF expression (89). NGF enhances axon regeneration (90).

Although platelet-released TGF- β 1 may initially reduce axon regeneration by stimulating macrophage invasion and releasing

pro-inflammatory mediators (93), longer TGF- β 1 exposure suppresses inflammation (86, 93-96), allowing for increased axon regeneration. TGF- β 1 also promotes axon regeneration by inducing angiogenesis and extracellular matrix synthesis (75, 91). It also reactivates long-term denervated Schwann cells and induces their migration into nerve injury sites where they release axon regeneration-promoting factors (92-94).

Platelet-released FGF-1 promotes cell proliferation, angiogenesis, differentiation, cell migration (95) and enhances axon regeneration and functional recovery when applied to nerve neurotomy sites (96) and added to conduits bridging nerve gaps (97). It acts, in part, by promoting Schwann cells proliferation (98).

The role of platelet-released BDNF is shown by it enhancing the extent of axon regeneration when applied to injured nerve neurotomy sites (99), within conduits (100, 101), and when injected into injured nerves (102). BDNF applied to autographs increases the number of regenerating sensory and motor axons by up to 4-fold (25, 103, 104) and induces long-term axotomized neurons to extend axons (20). BDNF also enhances Schwann cell proliferation (25, 99) and is even effective when applied following long-term axotomy (99).

Conclusions

While sensory nerve grafts remain the clinical “gold standard” for bridging nerve gaps to promote axon regeneration and recovery, their limitations are so significant that <50% of patients recover good to excellent sensory and motor function. Although various techniques increase the efficacy of sensory nerve grafts, allografts, and conduits in promoting axon regeneration, that is insufficient for restoring function to most clinical nerve injuries. However, two novel techniques involving bridging a nerve gap with a sensory nerve graft within a PRP-filled collagen tube or only with a PRP-filled collagen tube induce good axon regeneration and recovery, even when the values of two or all three variables that restrict axon regeneration are simultaneously large. In addition, one of these techniques shows that function can be restored without sacrificing a sensory nerve function. Further testing of these techniques should lead to the development of an off-the-shelf product that can be cut to the appropriate length of a nerve gap to be repaired and secured in place leading to the reliable recovery of good to excellent sensory and motor function under conditions where it is presently impossible.

Resumen

La restauración de funcionalidad en nervios periféricos luego de un trauma continúa siendo un gran reto, con < 50% recuperando funcionalidad después de un procedimiento reconstructivo. A pesar de los enormes esfuerzos para crear y mejorar técnicas existentes, el porcentaje de pacientes que recuperan su funcionalidad no ha aumentado significativamente

en casi 70 años. Aunque actualmente el uso de injerto de nervios sensoriales es el método de elección para intentar restaurar nervios traumatizados con una separación, este procedimiento sufre de muchas limitaciones. Esta técnica ha sido efectiva en restaurar función buena a excelente en separaciones de nervios de <3-5 cm, reparaciones completadas antes de < 3- 5 meses después del accidente y en pacientes entre 20 a 25 años de edad. El grado de recuperación puede disminuir precipitadamente a medida que el valor de alguna de estas variables aumente, y habría poca o ninguna recuperación si dos o más variables aumenta. Es por esto que urge la necesidad de estudiar nuevas técnicas que puedan aumentar el porcentaje de funcionalidad y el grado de recuperación en esta población. El objetivo de esta revisión es analizar las limitaciones de los injertos de nervios sensoriales conjunto a otras técnicas quirúrgicas que se utilizan actualmente para reparar nervios periféricos con separación. En adición, se discutirá el uso de plasma autólogo rico en plaquetas (PRP), el cual actualmente se ha descrito como la técnica más prometedora en inducir la recuperación sensorial y motora en pacientes que requieran un injerto de nervio sensorial por lesiones periféricas, aun cuando las variables antes descritas estén aumentadas. Por lo tanto, finalmente hay un procedimiento que promete devolverle al paciente funcionalidad sensorial y motora de buena a excelente en lesiones de nervios periféricos con separación que anteriormente no tenían oportunidad de recuperar.

List of abbreviations

PRP	platelet-rich plasma
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
BDNF	brain-derived neurotrophic factor
trkB receptor	tyrosine B receptor
RAGs	regeneration-associated genes
GAP-43	growth-associated protein-43
IGF-1	insulin-like growth factor-1
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate

References

1. Pan D, Mackinnon SE, Wood MD. Advances in the repair of segmental nerve injuries and trends in reconstruction. *Muscle Nerve*. 2020; 61;6:726-739. doi 10.1002/mus.26797.
2. Ruijs AC, Jaquet JB, Kalmijn S, Giele H, Hovius SE. Median and ulnar nerve injuries: a meta-analysis of predictors of motor and sensory recovery after modern microsurgical nerve repair. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2005; 116;2:484-494; discussion 495-486. doi 10.1097/01.prs.0000172896.86594.07.
3. Karabeg R, Jakirlic M, Dujso V. Sensory recovery after forearm median and ulnar nerve grafting. *Medicinski Arhiv*. 2009; 63;2:97-99. doi
4. Costales JR, Socolovsky M, Sanchez Lazaro JA, Alvarez Garcia R. Peripheral nerve injuries in the pediatric population: a review of the literature. Part I: traumatic nerve injuries. *Childs Nerv Syst*. 2019; 35;1:29-35. doi 10.1007/s00381-018-3974-8.
5. Hoben GM, Ee X, Schellhardt L, et al. Increasing Nerve Autograft Length Increases Senescence and Reduces Regeneration. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2018; 142;4:952-961. doi 10.1097/PRS.0000000000004759.

6. Campodonico A, Pangrazi PP, De Francesco F, Riccio M. Reconstruction of a long defect of the median nerve with a free nerve conduit flap. *Arch Plast Surg*. 2020, 47;2:187-193. doi 10.5999/aps.2019.00654.
7. Grinsell D, Keating CP. Peripheral nerve reconstruction after injury: a review of clinical and experimental therapies. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014, 2014;698256. doi 10.1155/2014/ (698256).
8. Sunderland S. A classification of peripheral nerve injuries producing loss of function. *Brain*. 1951, 74;4:491-516. doi 10.1093/brain/74.4.491.
9. Furey MJ, Midha R, Xu QG, Belkas J, Gordon T. Prolonged target deprivation reduces the capacity of injured motoneurons to regenerate. *Neurosurgery*. 2007, 60;4:723-732; discussion 732-723. doi 10.1227/01.NEU.0000255412.63184.CC.00006123-200704000-00020 [pii].
10. Ronchi G, Cillino M, Gambarotta G, et al. Irreversible changes occurring in long-term denervated Schwann cells affect delayed nerve repair. *J Neurosurg*. 2017, 127;4:843-856. doi 10.3171/2016.9.JNS16140.
11. Gordon T, Amirjani N, Edwards DC, Chan KM. Brief post-surgical electrical stimulation accelerates axon regeneration and muscle reinnervation without affecting the functional measures in carpal tunnel syndrome patients. *Exp Neurol*. 2010, 223;1:192-202. doi S0014-4886(09)00407-5 [pii] 10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.09.020.
12. Gordon T, Udina E, Verge VM, de Chaves EI. Brief electrical stimulation accelerates axon regeneration in the peripheral nervous system and promotes sensory axon regeneration in the central nervous system. *Motor Control*. 2009, 13;4:412-441. doi
13. Gordon T. Electrical Stimulation to Enhance Axon Regeneration After Peripheral Nerve Injuries in Animal Models and Humans. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2016, 13;2:295-310. doi 10.1007/s13311-015-0415-1.
14. Udina E, Furey M, Busch S, et al. Electrical stimulation of intact peripheral sensory axons in rats promotes outgrowth of their central projections. *Exp Neurol*. 2008, 210;1:238-247. doi S0014-4886(07)00415-3 [pii] 10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.11.007.
15. Juretic N, Jorquera G, Caviedes P, Jaimovich E, Riveros N. Electrical stimulation induces calcium-dependent up-regulation of neuregulin-1beta in dystrophic skeletal muscle cell lines. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2012, 29;5:6:919-930. doi 10.1159/000188068.
16. Van Buren T, Kasbergen CM, Gispens WH, De Wildt DJ. Presynaptic deficit of sympathetic nerves: a cause for disturbed sciatic nerve blood flow responsiveness in diabetic rats. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1996, 296;3:277-283. doi 10.1016/0014-2999(95)00718-0.
17. Tagami Y, Kurimoto T, Miyoshi T, et al. Axonal regeneration induced by repetitive electrical stimulation of crushed optic nerve in adult rats. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2009, 53;3:257-266. doi 10.1007/s10384-009-0657-8.
18. Teodori RM, Silva AM, Silva MT, et al. High-voltage electrical stimulation improves nerve regeneration after sciatic crush injury. *Rev Bras Fisioter*. 2011, 15;4:325-331. doi
19. Kao CH, Chen JJ, Hsu YM, et al. High-frequency electrical stimulation can be a complementary therapy to promote nerve regeneration in diabetic rats. *PLoS ONE*. 2013, 8;11:e79078. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0079078.
20. Gordon T. The role of neurotrophic factors in nerve regeneration. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2009, 26;2:E3. doi 10.3171/FOC.2009.26.2.E3 10.3171/FOC.2009.26.2.E3 [pii].
21. Singh B, Xu QG, Franz CK, et al. Accelerated axon outgrowth, guidance, and target reinnervation across nerve transection gaps following a brief electrical stimulation paradigm. *J Neurosurg*. 2012, 116;3:498-512. doi 10.3171/2011.10.JNS11612.
22. Gordon T, Sulaiman O, Boyd JG. Experimental strategies to promote functional recovery after peripheral nerve injuries. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*. 2003, 8;4:236-250. doi
23. Doi K, Tamaru K, Sakai K, et al. A comparison of vascularized and conventional sural nerve grafts. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1992, 17;4:670-676. doi
24. Zhu Y, Liu S, Zhou S, et al. Vascularized versus nonvascularized facial nerve grafts using a new rabbit model. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2015, 135;2:331e-339e. doi 10.1097/PRS.0000000000000992.
25. Hoyng SA, De Winter F, Gnani S, et al. A comparative morphological, electrophysiological and functional analysis of axon regeneration through peripheral nerve autografts genetically modified to overexpress BDNF, CNTF, GDNF, NGF, NT3 or VEGF. *Exp Neurol*. 2014, 261;578-593. doi 10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.08.002.
26. Rovak JM, Mungara AK, Aydin MA, Cederna PS. Effects of vascular endothelial growth factor on nerve regeneration in acellular nerve grafts. *Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery*. 2004, 20;1:53-58. doi 10.1055/s-2004-818050.
27. Rivard A, Fabre JE, Silver M, et al. Age-dependent impairment of angiogenesis. *Circulation*. 1999, 99;1:111-120. doi 10.1161/01.cir.99.1.111.
28. Gunin AG, Petrov VV, Golubtzova NN, Vasilieva OV, Kornilova NK. Age-related changes in angiogenesis in human dermis. *Exp Gerontol*. 2014, 55;143-151. doi 10.1016/j.exger.2014.04.010.
29. Kanaya F, Firrell J, Tsai TM, Breidenbach WC. Functional results of vascularized versus nonvascularized nerve grafting. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1992, 89;5:924-930. doi 10.1097/00006534-199205000-00024.
30. Saffari TM, Bedar M, Hundepool CA, Bishop AT, Shin AY. The role of vascularization in nerve regeneration of nerve graft. *Neural Regen Res*. 2020, 15;9:1573-1579. doi 10.4103/1673-5374.276327.
31. Leckenby JJ, Furrer C, Haug L, Juon Personeni B, Vogelin E. A retrospective case series reporting the outcomes of Advance nerve allografts in the treatment of peripheral nerve injuries. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2019, doi 10.1097/PRS.0000000000006485.
32. Deiner MS, Kennedy TE, Fazeli A, et al. Netrin-1 and DCC mediate axon guidance locally at the optic disc: loss of function leads to optic nerve hypoplasia. *Neuron*. 1997, 19;3:575-589. doi S0896-6273(00)80373-6 [pii].
33. Tajdaran K, Gordon T, Wood MD, Shoichet MS, Borschel GH. A glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor delivery system enhances nerve regeneration across acellular nerve allografts. *Acta Biomater*. 2016, 29;62-70. doi 10.1016/j.actbio.2015.10.001.
34. Tamez-Mata Y, Pedroza-Montoya FE, Martinez-Rodriguez HG, et al. Nerve gaps repaired with acellular nerve allografts recellularized with Schwann-like cells: Preclinical trial. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2021, doi 10.1016/j.jbjs.2021.05.066.
35. Rbia N, Bulstra LF, Lewallen EA, et al. Seeding decellularized nerve allografts with adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cells: An in vitro analysis of the gene expression and growth factors produced. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2019, 72;8:1316-1325. doi 10.1016/j.jbjs.2019.04.014.
36. Zheng C, Zhu Q, Liu X, et al. Improved peripheral nerve regeneration using acellular nerve allografts loaded with platelet-rich plasma. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2014, 20;23-24:3228-3240. doi 10.1089/ten.TEA.2013.0729.
37. Yan Y, Hunter DA, Schellhardt L, et al. Nerve stepping stone has minimal impact in aiding regeneration across long acellular nerve allografts. *Muscle Nerve*. 2018, 57;2:260-267. doi 10.1002/mus.25659.
38. Wang W, Degrugillier L, Tremp M, et al. Nerve Repair With Fibrin Nerve Conduit and Modified Suture Placement. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)*. 2018, 301;10:1690-1696. doi 10.1002/ar.23921.
39. Gontika I, Katsimpoulas M, Antoniou E, et al. Decellularized Human Umbilical Artery Used as Nerve Conduit. *Bioengineering (Basel)*. 2018, 5;4:doi 10.3390/bioengineering5040100.
40. Pan D, Mackinnon SE, Wood MD. Advances in the repair of segmental nerve injuries and trends in reconstruction. *Muscle Nerve*. 2019, doi 10.1002/mus.26797.
41. Patel NP, Lyon KA, Huang JH. An update-tissue engineered nerve grafts for the repair of peripheral nerve injuries. *Neural Regen Res*. 2018, 13;5:764-774. doi 10.4103/1673-5374.232458.
42. di Summa PG, Kingham PJ, Campisi CC, Raffoul W, Kalbermatten DF. Collagen (NeuraGen(R)) nerve conduits and stem cells for peripheral nerve gap repair. *Neurosci Lett*. 2014, 572;26-31. doi 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.04.029.
43. Chen ZX, Lu HB, Jin XL, et al. Skeletal muscle-derived cells repair peripheral nerve defects in mice. *Neural Regen Res*. 2020, 15;1:152-161. doi 10.4103/1673-5374.264462.
44. Sahin C, Karagoz H, Kulahci Y, et al. Minced nerve tissue in vein grafts used as conduits in rat tibial nerves. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2014, 73;5:540-546. doi 10.1097/SAP.0000000000000060.
45. Liu Y, Yu S, Gu X, Cao R, Cui S. Tissue-engineered nerve grafts using a scaffold-independent and injectable drug delivery system: a novel de-

- sign with translational advantages. *Journal of neural engineering*. 2019, 16;3:036030. doi 10.1088/1741-2552/ab17a0.
46. MacKinnon SE. Technical use of synthetic conduits for nerve repair. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2010, 36;1:183. doi
 47. Baidildinova G, Nagy M, Jurk K, et al. Soluble Platelet Release Factors as Biomarkers for Cardiovascular Disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021, 8;684920. doi 10.3389/fcvm.2021.684920.
 48. Lichtenfels M, Colome L, Sebben AD, Braga-Silva J. Effect of Platelet Rich Plasma and Platelet Rich Fibrin on sciatic nerve regeneration in a rat model. *Microsurgery*. 2013, 33;5:383-390. doi 10.1002/micr.22105.
 49. Periyah MH, Halim AS, Mat Saad AZ. Mechanism Action of Platelets and Crucial Blood Coagulation Pathways in Hemostasis. *Int J Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Res*. 2017, 11;4:319-327. doi
 50. Golebiewska EM, Poole AW. Platelet secretion: From haemostasis to wound healing and beyond. *Blood Rev*. 2015, 29;3:153-162. doi 10.1016/j.blre.2014.10.003.
 51. Zumstein MA, Rumian A, Lesbats V, Schaer M, Boileau P. Increased vascularization during early healing after biologic augmentation in repair of chronic rotator cuff tears using autologous leukocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin (L-PRF): a prospective randomized controlled pilot trial. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2014, 23;1:3-12. doi 10.1016/j.jse.2013.08.017.
 52. Forehand CC, Cribb J, May JR. Examination of the relationship between antimicrobials and thrombocytosis. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2012, 46;10:1425-1429. doi 10.1345/aph.1R080.
 53. Duerschmied D, Bode C, Ahrens I. Immune functions of platelets. *Thromb Haemost*. 2014, 112;4:678-691. doi 10.1160/TH14-02-0146.
 54. Sanchez M, Yoshioka T, Ortega M, Delgado D, Anita E. Ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma injections for the treatment of common peroneal nerve palsy associated with multiple ligament injuries of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2014, 22;5:1084-1089. doi 10.1007/s00167-013-2479-y.
 55. Garcia de Cortazar U, Padilla S, Lobato E, Delgado D, Sanchez M. Intra-nerve Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections for the Treatment of Radial Nerve Section: A Case Report. *J Clin Med*. 2018, 7;2:doi 10.3390/jcm7020013.
 56. Torul D, Bereket MC, Onger ME, Altun G. Comparison of the Regenerative Effects of Platelet-Rich Fibrin and Plasma Rich in Growth Factors on Injured Peripheral Nerve: An Experimental Study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2018, 76;8:1823-1823 e1812. doi 10.1016/j.joms.2018.04.012.
 57. Kucuk L, Gunay H, Erbas O, et al. Effects of platelet-rich plasma on nerve regeneration in a rat model. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*. 2014, 48;4:449-454. doi 10.3944/AOTT.2014.13.0029.
 58. Teymur H, Tiftikcioglu YO, Cavusoglu T, et al. Effect of platelet-rich plasma on reconstruction with nerve autografts. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2017, 33;2:69-77. doi 10.1016/j.kjms.2016.11.005.
 59. Chuang MH, Ho LH, Kuo TF, et al. Regenerative Potential of Platelet-Rich Fibrin Releasate Combined with Adipose Tissue-Derived Stem Cells in a Rat Sciatic Nerve Injury Model. *Cell Transplant*. 2020, 29;963689720919438. doi 10.1177/0963689720919438.
 60. Foy C, Micheo W, Kuffler DP. Sensory and Motor Recovery Following the Repair of Three Long Nerve Gap in a Senior Patient 2.6 Years Post-trauma. *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2021, 9;9:doi
 61. Kuffler DP, Reyes O, Sosa IJ, Santiago-Figueroa J. Neurological Recovery Across a 12-cm-Ulnar Nerve Gap Repaired 3.25 Years Post Trauma: Case Report. *Neurosurgery*. 2011, 69;6:E1321-1326. doi 10.1227/NEU.0b013e31822a9fd2.
 62. Kuffler DP. Platelet-Rich Plasma Promotes Axon Regeneration, Wound Healing, and Pain Reduction: Fact or Fiction. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2015, 52;2:990-1014. doi 10.1007/s12035-015-9251-x.
 63. Wartiovaara U, Salven P, Mikkola H, et al. Peripheral blood platelets express VEGF-C and VEGF which are released during platelet activation. *Thromb Haemost*. 1998, 80;1:171-175. doi
 64. Raimondo TM, Li H, Kwee BJ, et al. Combined delivery of VEGF and IGF-1 promotes functional innervation in mice and improves muscle transplantation in rabbits. *Biomaterials*. 2019, 216;119246. doi 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2019.119246.
 65. Cattin AL, Burden JJ, Van Emmenis L, et al. Macrophage-Induced Blood Vessels Guide Schwann Cell-Mediated Regeneration of Peripheral Nerves. *Cell*. 2015, 162;5:1127-1139. doi 10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.021.
 66. Scheib JL, Hoke A. An attenuated immune response by Schwann cells and macrophages inhibits nerve regeneration in aged rats. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2016, 45;1-9. doi 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.05.004.
 67. Painter MW, Brosius Lutz A, Cheng YC, et al. Diminished Schwann cell repair responses underlie age-associated impaired axonal regeneration. *Neuron*. 2014, 83;2:331-343. doi 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.06.016.
 68. Wynn TA. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J Pathol*. 2008, 214;2:199-210. doi 10.1002/path.2277.
 69. Kang H, Lichtman JW. Motor axon regeneration and muscle reinnervation in young adult and aged animals. *J Neurosci*. 2013, 33;50:19480-19491. doi 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4067-13.2013.
 70. Sjoberg J, Kanje M. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) as a stimulator of regeneration in the freeze-injured rat sciatic nerve. *Brain Res*. 1989, 485;1:102-108. doi
 71. Golzadeh A, Mohammadi R. Effect of local administration of platelet-derived growth factor B on functional recovery of peripheral nerve regeneration: A sciatic nerve transection model. *Dent Res J (Isfahan)*. 2016, 13;3:225-232. doi 10.4103/1735-3327.182181.
 72. Zheng C, Zhu Q, Liu X, et al. Effect of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) concentration on proliferation, neurotrophic function and migration of Schwann cells in vitro. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2016, 10;5:428-436. doi 10.1002/term.1756.
 73. Sowa Y, Kishida T, Tomita K, et al. Involvement of PDGF-BB and IGF-1 in Activation of Human Schwann Cells by Platelet-Rich Plasma. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2019, 144;6:1025e-1036e. doi 10.1097/PRS.00000000000006266.
 74. Oya T, Zhao YL, Takagawa K, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor-b expression induced after rat peripheral nerve injuries. *Glia*. 2002, 38;4:303-312. doi 10.1002/glia.10074.
 75. Dahlgren LA, Mohammed HO, Nixon AJ. Temporal expression of growth factors and matrix molecules in healing tendon lesions. *J Orthop Res*. 2005, 23;1:84-92. doi 10.1016/j.jorthres.2004.05.007.
 76. Risau W, Drexler H, Mironov V, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor is angiogenic in vivo. *Growth Factors*. 1992, 7;4:261-266. doi 10.3109/08977199209046408.
 77. Cao R, Brakenhielm E, Li X, et al. Angiogenesis stimulated by PDGF-CC, a novel member in the PDGF family, involves activation of PDGFR-alpha and -beta receptors. *Faseb J*. 2002, 16;12:1575-1583. doi 10.1096/fj.02-0319.com.
 78. Rabinovsky ED. The multifunctional role of IGF-1 in peripheral nerve regeneration. *Neurol Res*. 2004, 26;2:204-210. doi 10.1179/016164104225013851.
 79. Cheng HL, Steinway ML, Russell JW, Feldman EL. GTPases and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase are critical for insulin-like growth factor-I-mediated Schwann cell motility. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 2000, 275;35:27197-27204. doi 10.1074/jbc.M002534200.
 80. Heidenreich KA. Insulin and IGF-I receptor signaling in cultured neurons. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 1993, 692;72-88. doi 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1993.tb26207.x.
 81. Apel PJ, Ma J, Callahan M, et al. Effect of locally delivered IGF-1 on nerve regeneration during aging: an experimental study in rats. *Muscle Nerve*. 2010, 41;3:335-341. doi 10.1002/mus.21485.
 82. Mosser DM, Zhang X. Interleukin-10: new perspectives on an old cytokine. *Immunol Rev*. 2008, 226;205-218. doi 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00706.x.
 83. David S, Kroner A. Repertoire of microglial and macrophage responses after spinal cord injury. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2011, 12;7:388-399. doi 10.1038/nrn3053.
 84. Margul DJ, Park J, Boehler RM, et al. Reducing neuroinflammation by delivery of IL-10 encoding lentivirus from multiple-channel bridges. *Bioeng Transl Med*. 2016, 1;2:136-148. doi 10.1002/btm2.10018.
 85. Conti P, Kempuraj D, Kandere K, et al. IL-10, an inflammatory/inhibitory cytokine, but not always. *Immunol Lett*. 2003, 86;2:123-129. doi
 86. Bogdan C, Paik J, Vodovotz Y, Nathan C. Contrasting mechanisms for suppression of macrophage cytokine release by transforming growth factor-beta and interleukin-10. *J Biol Chem*. 1992, 267;32:23301-23308. doi

87. Vidal PM, Lemmens E, Dooley D, Hendrix S. The role of “anti-inflammatory” cytokines in axon regeneration. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2013, 24;1:1-12. doi 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2012.08.008 S1359-6101(12)00072-X [pii].
88. Zhang JM, An J. Cytokines, inflammation, and pain. *Int Anesthesiol Clin.* 2007, 45;2:27-37. doi 10.1097/AIA.0b013e318034194e.
89. Woolf CJ, Safieh-Garabedian B, Ma QP, Crilly P, Winter J. Nerve growth factor contributes to the generation of inflammatory sensory hypersensitivity. *Neuroscience.* 1994, 62;2:327-331. doi 10.1016/0306-4522(94)90366-2.
90. Aoki Y, Ohtori S, Takahashi K, et al. Innervation of the lumbar intervertebral disc by nerve growth factor-dependent neurons related to inflammatory pain. *Spine.* 2004, 29;10:1077-1081. doi
91. Hosgood G. Wound healing. The role of platelet-derived growth factor and transforming growth factor beta. *Veterinary surgery : VS.* 1993, 22;6:490-495. doi 10.1111/j.1532-950x.1993.tb00426.x.
92. Muscella A, Vetrugno C, Cossa LG, Marsigliante S. TGF-beta1 activates RSC96 Schwann cells migration and invasion through MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities. *J Neurochem.* 2020, 153;4:525-538. doi 10.1111/jnc.14913.
93. Gordon T, Brushart TM, Chan KM. Augmenting nerve regeneration with electrical stimulation. *Neurol Res.* 2008, 30;10:1012-1022. doi 10.1179/174313208X362488.
94. Sulaiman OA, Gordon T. Transforming growth factor-beta and forskolin attenuate the adverse effects of long-term Schwann cell denervation on peripheral nerve regeneration in vivo. *Glia.* 2002, 37;3:206-218. doi
95. Rozman P, Bolta Z. Use of platelet growth factors in treating wounds and soft-tissue injuries. *Acta Dermatovenerol Alp Pannonica Adriat.* 2007, 16;4:156-165. doi
96. Jubran M, Widenfalk J. Repair of peripheral nerve transections with fibrin sealant containing neurotrophic factors. *Exp Neurol.* 2003, 181;2:204-212. doi
97. Midha R, Munro CA, Dalton PD, Tator CH, Shoichet MS. Growth factor enhancement of peripheral nerve regeneration through a novel synthetic hydrogel tube. *J Neurosurg.* 2003, 99;3:555-565. doi
98. Davis JB, Stroobant P. Platelet-derived growth factors and fibroblast growth factors are mitogens for rat Schwann cells. *J Cell Biol.* 1990, 110;4:1353-1360. doi 10.1083/jcb.110.4.1353.
99. Boyd JG, Gordon T. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor sustain the axonal regeneration of chronically axotomized motoneurons in vivo. *Exp Neurol.* 2003, 183;2: 610-619. doi
100. Kishino A, Ishige Y, Tatsuno T, Nakayama C, Noguchi H. BDNF prevents and reverses adult rat motor neuron degeneration and induces axonal outgrowth. *Exp Neurol.* 1997, 144;2:273-286. doi 10.1006/exnr.1996.6367.
101. Utley DS, Lewin SL, Cheng ET, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and collagen tubulization enhance functional recovery after peripheral nerve transection and repair. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 1996, 122;4:407-413. doi
102. Chu TH, Li SY, Guo A, et al. Implantation of neurotrophic factor-treated sensory nerve graft enhances survival and axonal regeneration of motoneurons after spinal root avulsion. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2009, 68;1:94-101. doi 10.1097/NEN.0b013e31819344a9.
103. Hontanilla B, Auba C, Gorria O. Nerve regeneration through nerve autografts after local administration of brain-derived neurotrophic factor with osmotic pumps. *Neurosurgery.* 2007, 61;6:1268-1274; discussion 1274-1265. doi 10.1227/01.neu.0000306106.70421.ed 00006123-200712000-00018 [pii].
104. Godinho MJ, Teh L, Pollett MA, et al. Immunohistochemical, ultrastructural and functional analysis of axonal regeneration through peripheral nerve grafts containing Schwann cells expressing BDNF, CNTF or NT3. *PLoS ONE.* 2013, 8;8:e69987. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0069987.